

GAHC030004952022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case : WP(C)/15/2023

Sh. Kawlhmingthanga and 25 Ors.
Durtlang Aizawl

2: Sh Thanglalmuana
Thuampui Aizawl

3: Smt. Lalhmingmawii
Durtlang

4: Sh.Lalduhsanga
Ramthar
Aizawl

5: Smt. C.Liannhuri
Dawrpui Vengthar
Aizawl

6: Smt.T Darliansiami
Dinthar
Aizawl

7: Sh Genesis Nohro
Tuipang
Siaha

8: Sh. K.Hmingthanzauva
College Veng
Lawngtlai

9: Smt. Rebecca Nianglamliani
Zuangtui
Aizawl.

10: Sh.Laldingliana

Rahsi Veng
N.Vanlaiphai

11: Sh.Laltlanzuala Sailo
Thakthing
Aizawl.

12: Smt. R Lalremruati
Tuithiang Veng
Aizawl

13: Sh. Varsangliana Varte
Ramthar North
Aizawl.

14: Sh
K.Lalrinfela
Bungkawn
Aizawl

15: Smt.Lalrindiki
Thingdawl Venglai
Kolasib

16: Smt.Lalruatpuii
Serchhip Venghlun

17: Sh. Zothanmawia
Dawrpui Vengthar
Aizawl.

18: Smt.Lalawmpuii
Serchhip Venghlun

19: Smt. KC Lalbiakmawii
Durtlang North

20: Smt Lalfakzuali
Dinthar
Aizawl.

21: Sh Nanglianpauva
Sesih Vengpui
Champhai

22: Sh KC Ramkinlova
Darlawng Venglai

23: Smt. Rosie Liani
Chanmari
Aizawl

24: Smt.Lalrammuanpuii
Zemabawk

25: Smt.Bethlehem Nunnapari
Bualpui NG

26: Sh B.Vanlalvena
Hnahthial
VERSUS

The Director
(GDS Section)
Ministry of Communications
Deptt.of Posts
New Delhi and 5 Ors.
New Delhi

2:The Secretary
Deptt. of Posts and Chairperson
Postal Services Board
New Delhi
New Delhi-110001

3:The Post Master General
North East-II Region
Shillong
Meghalaya-793001

4:The Senior Superintendent of Posts
Meghalaya Division
Shillong-793001

5:The Postmaster General
Deptt. of Posts
Office of the Director
Postal Services
Mizoram Div
Aizawl-796001

6:The Superintendent of Posts
Deptt. of Posts
Office of the Director Postal Services
Aizawl
Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Rosalynn L Hmar
Advocate for the Respondent :

:: BEFORE::

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

::ORDER::

15.03.2024

Heard Ms. Rosalynn L Hmar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. S.K. Medhi, learned CGC for the respondent.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would like to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal urging the grievances before the Tribunal in the first instance and therefore, seeks liberty to approach and file it before the Tribunal.

Mr. S.K. Medhi, learned CGC does not have any objections to the prayer.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal in respect of the same subject matter.

Interim order if any stands vacated.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant