

GAHC010280742023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3708/2023

AGRICULTURAL AND PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS EXPORT
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (APEDA)
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES, GOVT. OF INDIA, 3RD
FLOOR, NCUI BUILDING, 3, SIRI INDUSTRIAL AREA, AUGUST KRANTI
MARG, NEW DELHI-16, REPRESENTED BY ITS ASSISTANT GENERAL
MANAGER, REGIONAL OFFICE, GUWAHATI

2: MS. SUNITA RAI
ASSTT. GENERAL MANAGER
AGRICULTURAL AND PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS EXPORT
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY APEDA
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
JAIN COMPLEX
3RD FLOOR
G.S. ROAD
GHY-

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR
AND EMPLOYMENT SHARAM SHAKTI BHAWAN, RAFI MARG, NEW DELHI
01

2:BINOD CH. BARMAN
C/O SRI JOGENDRA NATHBORAH
HOUSE NO.598
ANANDA NAGAR
CHRISTIAN BASTI
NEAR SANI MANDIR
GHY-5

3:THE ASSTT. LABOUR COMMISSIONER CENTRAL
CUM CONTROLLING AUTHORITY UNDER THE PAYMENT OF GRATUITY

ACT
1972
KENDRIYA SHRAM SADAN
RK MISSION ROAD
BIRUBARI
GHY-1

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR C P SHARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

Linked Case : WA No. 15176/2023 (Filing Number)

AGRICULTURAL AND PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS EXPORT
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (APEDA)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS F

Advocate for : MR C P SHARMA
Advocate for : appearing for UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS F

Linked Case : I.A. (Civil) No. 15177/2023

AGRICULTURAL AND PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS EXPORT
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (APEDA)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS F

Advocate for : MR C P SHARMA
Advocate for : appearing for UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS F

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

11/01/2024

(M.R. Pathak, J)

Heard Mr. C P Sharma, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. K R Borooah, learned counsel for the applicants. Also heard Mr. S S Roy, learned CGC for the opposite party Nos. 1 & 3 and Mr. L C Dey, learned counsel for the opposite party No. 2.

On 13.12.2023, it was already recorded that there was a delay of 29 days in preferring the connected writ appeal against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No. 857/2013.

Mr. Roy, learned CGC and Mr. Dey, learned counsel for the opposite parties have no objection in condoning the delay of 29 days in preferring the connected writ appeal by the applicants against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2023 passed in WP(C) No. 857/2013.

From the perusal of the applications, we found that the applicants could show sufficient reason for the delay in preferring the connected appeal against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2023.

Considering the above, the delay of 29 days in preferring the connected writ appeal by the present applicants as appellant against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No. 857/2013 is hereby condoned.

Registry shall accordingly register and number the connected writ appeal and shall list the matter for admission on **08.02.2024**.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant