

GAHC010022812024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

WP(C)/6742/2023

NALINA T
D/O MR E THUPPESHAPPA R/O HOUSE NO. 328 P.O. NERALAJERE TALUK
TARIKERE DIST. CHIKKAMAGALORE KARNATAKA PIN 577228

VERSUS

CANARA BANK AND 4 ORS
A BODY CORPORATE AND DULY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE BANKING
COMPANIES (ACQUISITION AND TRANSFER OF UNDERTAKING) ACT
1910 HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AT 112 J C ROAD BANGALORE 560002 AND
DOING THE BUSINESS OF BANKING AT DIVERSE PLACE ALL OVER INDIA
AND IN PARTICULAR AT AIZWAL BRANCH ZODIN SQUIRE 1ST FLOOR
NEAR SOLOMANS CAVE AIZWAL 796001 REP BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER

2:CANARA BANK
CIRCLE OFFICE PANJABARI ROAD SIXMILE DEE BEE GRAND PIN 781037
GUWAHATI ASSAM

3:H G LOKESH MURTHY
S/O GURUMALLAIAH R/O VILL AND P.S. HIREGOWAJA DIST.
CHIKKAMANGALORE KARNATAKA PIN 577168

4:NAGARAJU N M
S/O MAHALINGAPPA N M VILL. NERALEKERE TALUK TARIKERE DIST
CHIKKAMANGALORE KARNATAKA PIN 577101

5:SUB-REGISTRAR

KADUR TALUK
DISTRICT- CHIKKAMANGALORE
KARNATAKA PIN-577101.

Advocate for : MR B D DAS

Advocate for : SC

CANARA BANK appearing for CANARA BANK AND 4 ORS

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY**

ORDER

01.03.2024

Heard Mr. B.D. Das, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. M. Sarma, learned counsel for the respondent Bank.

Mr. Das, learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner wants to withdraw the writ petition in order to avail the statutory remedy of appeal before the appellant forum. He has submitted that since an interim order was passed earlier in the writ petition, the said interim order be extended till 22.03.2024 so as to enable the petitioner to prefer an appeal before the appellant forum and also to prevent creation of any other rights in the interregnum.

Mr. Sarma, learned counsel for the respondent Bank has not opposed to the prayer made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner for withdrawal of the writ petition.

An interim order was passed in this writ petition on 22.11.2023 and the same is in operation till date. Having regard to the case projected in the writ petition, the prayer made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner for extension of the operation of the said interim order till 22.03.2024 is found just.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed on withdrawal, by extending the operation of the interim order passed earlier on 22.11.2023 till 22.03.2024. It is made clear that the interim order will cease to have any effect beyond 22.03.2024.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant