

GAHC010255502023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6614/2023

V S SECURITY AND SYSTEMS PRIVATE LIMITED
HAVING ITS REGISTERED ADDRESS AT FLAT NO 1 A LUIT APARTMENT R
G BARUAH ROAD GUWAHATI ASSAM PIIN 781021 AND REP BY ONE OF ITS
DIRECTORS MS KATHERINE SAIKIA

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS
REP BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF
CHEMICAL AND FERTILIZERS DEPTT OF FERTILIZERS SHASTRI BHAWAN
NEW DELHI 110001

2:BRAHMAPUTRA VALLEY FERTILIZER CORPORATION LTD. (BVFCL)
A GOVT OF INDIA UNDERTAKING AND REP BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND
MANAGING DIRECTOR HAVING HIS OFFICE AT P.O. PARBATPUR P.S
NAMRUP DIST. DIBRUGARH ASSAM PIN 786623

3:THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
BRAHMAPUTRA VALLEY FERTILIZER CORPORATION LTD. P.O.
PARBATPUR P.S NAMRUP DIST. DIBRUGARH ASSAM PN 786623

4:THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER (HR)
BRAHMAPUTRA VALLEY FERTILIZER CORPORATION LTD. P.O.
PARBATPUR P.S. NAMRUP DIST. DIBRUAGR H ASSAM PIN 786623

5:THE SENIOR MANAGER (ADMIN AND IR)
BRAHMAPUTRA VALLEY FERTILIZER CORPORATION LTD. P.O.
PARBATPUR P.S. NAMRUP DIST. DIBRUAGR H ASSAM PIN 78662

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S CHETIA

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI**

ORDER

Date : 13.05.2024

It appears that the petitioner has taken back the brief from the engaged counsel and, accordingly, a submission was made by the earlier engaged counsel Mr. G. P. More on the last occasion, i.e., 22.04.2024. However, considering no alternative arrangement has been made by the petitioner to represent the petitioner before this Court, this Court on the last occasion granted a last chance to the petitioner to engage a counsel and appear before this Court on the next date fixed.

It appears that today when the matter is called for, none appears on behalf of the petitioner.

It further appears from the office note dated 10.05.2024 that the earlier set of counsel has filed a letter to delete their names from the instant writ petition, which is kept on record and marked as Flag-X. Further, the said office note indicates that no new counsel has been engaged by the petitioner till date.

Considering that no alternative arrangement has been made, this Court is of the considered view that perhaps the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant