

GAHC010248872024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6391/2024

MARZINA BEGUM
W/O- MD. JELAL UDDIN SK.,
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF VILLAGE KUMAR GATI,
P.O.- TISTERPAR, P.S. DHUBRI,
IN THE DISTRICT OF DHUBRI,
ASSAM, PIN- 783324.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE DIRECTORATE OF SOCIAL WELFARE (WOMEN AND CHILD
DEVELOPMENT SECTOR) OF THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
GUWAHATI- 781001.

3:THE CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER (CDPO)
GAURIPUR
INTEGRATED CHILD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (ICDS) PROJECT
GAURIPUR
DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783331

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S S S RAHMAN, MS B H SHIRIN,H. BHARALI,MS S Z
HAYAT,MR S AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO**

ORDER

04-12-2024

Heard Mr. S. S. S. Rahman, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. D. Borah, learned State Counsel for the respondents.

Having regard to the nature of the case projected by the petitioner, the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the motion stage itself.

It is the case of the petitioner that upon being duly selected and recommended, he was appointed as Anganwadi Helper on 22.12.2009 in 235 No. Kumarkati Anganwadi Centre. Having served as such with due diligence and to the best of her eligibility, the petitioner contends that she is eligible to be considered for appointment/promotion as Anganwadi Worker in Angawadi Centre No. 137 which is in the same village where she is residing and the post is vacant.

Mr. Rahman, learned counsel submits that the representation was submitted by the petitioner for considering her appointment to the said vacant post of Anganwadi Worker on 18.11.2024 (Annexure-D) to the Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), Gauripur ICDS Project Dharmashala but the same has not been considered till date. He therefore submits that at this stage, it is limited prayer of the petitioner that the respondent no. 3 may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and to dispose of the same with a time frame.

Mr. D. Borah, the learned State Counsel has no objection to the said prayer.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondent no. 3 to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on 18.11.2024 and to take a decision by way of a speaking order within a period

of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petitioner shall also submit copy of the writ petition to the respondent no.
3.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant