

GAHC010248192024



2024:GAU-AS:12825

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3740/2024

JUGA SAIKIA DAS
W/O- LATE DHANI CHANDRA DAS,
VILL.- NAKARI, WARD NO. 1,
P.O. AND P.S.- NORTH LAKHIMPUR,
DISTRICT- LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM,
PIN- 787001.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, ELEMENTARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI, PIN- 781006.

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

3:THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI- 781019.

4:THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
LAKHIMPUR
NORTH LAKHIMPUR
PIN- 787001.

5:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
LAKHIMPUR

NORTH LAKHIMPUR
PIN- 787001.

6:THE BLOCK ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
LAKHIMPUR EDUCATION BLOCK
NORTH LAKHIMPUR
PIN- 787001.

7:THE ACCOUNTANT GENRERAL (A AND E)
ASSAM
MAIDAMGAON
GUWAHATI
PIN- 781029.

8:THE DIRECTOR OF PENSION

ASSAM
HOUSEFED COMPLEX
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M K NEOG, MR. M K NEOG

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, ELEM. EDU, SC, FINANCE DEPTT.,SC, AG

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR

O R D E R

17.12.2024

Heard Mr. M.K. Neog, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. P.K. Bora, learned Standing Counsel, Elementary Education Department for the opposite party Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6, Mr. B. Gogoi, learned Standing Counsel, Finance Department, Assam for the opposite party No.2 and Mr. B. Chakraborty, learned Standing Counsel, Accountant General (A&E), Assam for the opposite party No.7.

2. By this interlocutory application, the applicant, who is the writ

petitioner in WP(C) No.5019/2024, is praying for impleadment of the "Director of Pension, Assam, Housefed Complex, Dispur, Guwahati-781006" as party respondent No.8 in the connected writ petition on the ground that the applicant could not make the proposed respondent due to inadvertence as a party respondent in the said writ petition.

3. The opposite parties have no objection.
4. This Court has also considered the cause shown in the present application and is satisfied.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for impleadment is allowed.
6. Interlocutory application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant