

GAHC010246262024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3750/2024
In WP(C)/3551/2022

M/S EASTERN ENTERPRISE AND ANR
VIP ROAD, SIXMILE, GUWAHATI-22, REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR
SRI BAIKUNTHA BARUAH, SON OF LATE SABHARAM BARUAH, R/O- VIP
ROAD, CHACHAL, G.M.C. HOUSE NO. 1, P.O. KHANAPARA, P.S. DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-22, DIST. KAMRUP(M), ASSAM

2: BAIKUNTHA BARUAH
S/O- LATE SABHARAM BARUAH
R/O- VIP ROAD
CHACHAL, G.M.C. HOUSE NO. 1
P.O. KHANAPARA
P.S. DISPUR, GUWAHATI-22
DIST. KAMRUP(M)
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
(PHE), DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2: THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING (PHE) HENGRABARI
GUWAHATI-36, KAMRUP(M), ASSAM

3: THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF ENGINEER (PHE)
LOWER ASSAM ZONE, GUWAHATI-21.

4: THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING (PHE)
GUWAHATI DIVISION NO. II
GUWAHATI-21

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S BORA,
Advocate for the Respondent : SC, P H E, SC, FINANCE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

16-12-2024

Heard Shri S. Bora, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms. R. Gogoi, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Ms. R. Baruah, learned counsel appearing on instruction of Shri P. Nayak, learned counsel for the Finance Department.

Shri Bora, the learned counsel has submitted that while presenting the writ petition, the name of the petitioner no. 1 was inadvertently written as M/s Easter Enterprise which should have been M/s Eastern Enterprise. He submits that due to the error which had crept in inadvertently, in the order passed by this Court on 15.02.2023, the same error had appeared. He has also drawn the attention of this Court to the documents annexed to the writ petition (disposed of) wherein the name was reflected correctly.

Upon perusal of the same and hearing of the parties, this Court clarifies that the name of the petitioner no. 1 which has been written as M/s Easter Enterprise should be read as M/s Eastern Enterprise.

With the aforesaid observation, the IA stands disposed of.

Let this order be read as a part of the order dated 15.02.2023.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant