

GAHC010244362024



2024:GAU-AS:11855

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6264/2024

HALIMA KHATUN
D/O ARFAN ALI @ ARFAN ALI SIKDAR, W/O SOMER ALI, VILL-
BAPURVITA, P.S.-LAKHIPUR, DIST- GOALPARA (ASSAM), PIN-783129

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME, NEW DELHI-1

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF HOME
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
GOALPARA
DIST- GOALPARA (ASSAM)
PIN-783101

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (BORDER)
DIST- GOALPARA (ASSAM)
PIN-783101

5:THE ELECTION COMMISSIONER
GOVT. OF INDIA
NEW DELHI-1

6:THE STATE CO-ORDINATOR OF NATIONAL REGISTRATION (NRC)
ASSAM
BONFUL NAGAR
HOUSEFED
2ND FLOOR
GUWAHATI-32

7:THE DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER
(OFFICE OF THE D.C.
GOALPARA)
DIST- GOALPARA (ASSAM)
PIN-78310

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A ROSHID, MS. T BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., SC, F.T,SC, ECI,GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

ORDER

29.11.2024

Heard Mr. A. Roshid, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. J. Payeng, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 & 4, Mrs. P. Baruah, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.5 & 7 and Mr. S.R. Baruah, learned counsel for the respondent No. 3.

2. The case of the petitioner is that in the voters list of 1997, the name of the petitioner was marked with the letter "D" and thereupon the petitioner has approached the concerned respondent authorities for removal of the tag "D" in his name from the voters list.

3. As the concerned respondent authorities had failed to do so, the petitioner is before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for an

appropriate direction that the letter "D" tagged with the name of the petitioner in the voters list be removed.

4. Whether a person is a D voter or not is a matter of factual determination and the appropriate forum to do the same is the concerned Foreigners Tribunal. This Court in exercise of its powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India would not have the competence to decide such a question which depends on the evidence that may be produced.

5. In the circumstances, this Court therefore directs the respondent No.4, i.e., the Superintendent of Police (Border), Goalpara to verify as to whether the petitioner has already been referred to the concerned Foreigners Tribunal and if upon verification it is found that the petitioner has not been referred to the concerned Foreigners Tribunal, this Court further directs the said respondent No.4 to refer the case of the petitioner as regards the mark "D" in the voters list in respect to Sl. No.861, Goalpara West, LAC No.38, Village Bapurvita, to a competent Foreigners Tribunal, Goalpara district for an appropriate adjudication as regards the status of the petitioner as "D" voter in the concerned voters list.

6. Reference be made within a period of 2 (two) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Upon such reference being made, the petitioner may participate in such proceedings and establish her case.

7. If the case of the petitioner as per the records had already been referred to the relevant Foreigners Tribunal there would be no requirement to make any further reference and the detailed information of the earlier reference be communicated to the petitioner.

8. With the above directions and observations, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant