

GAHC010241642024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3700/2024

CHITRA RABHA AND ORS.
W/O LATE SIDHARTHA DAS, VILL.- NIZ-CHAHARIA, P.O.- AMSOI, MOUZA-
CHAHRIA, P.S.- RAHA, DIST.- NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN- 782425.

2: PRADIP DAS
S/O SUBHARAM DAS
VILL.- NIZ-CHAHARIA
P.O.- AMSOI
MOUZA- CHAHRIA
P.S.- RAHA
DIST.- NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 782425.

3: SMTI SWAPNA DAS

W/O PRADIP DAS
VILL.- NIZ-CHAHARIA
P.O.- AMSOI
MOUZA- CHAHRIA
P.S.- RAHA
DIST.- NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 782425

VERSUS

ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT ORIENTAL HOUSE, A-25/27, ASAF ALI
ROAD, NEW DELHI 110002, AND REGIONAL OFFICE AT GUWAHATI- 7, REP.
BY THE REGIONAL MANAGER.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M TALUKDAR,

Advocate for the Respondent : MS M CHOUDHURY,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MALASRI NANDI

ORDER

Date : 19.12.2024

Heard Mr. M. Talukdar, learned counsel for the applicants/ claimants and Ms. M. Choudhury, learned counsel for the opposite party/ Insurance Company.

By filing this application, the applicants have prayed for withdrawal of 50% of the total awarded amount in pursuant to the order dated 09.10.2023, passed in I.A. (Civil) No. 1972/2023, arising out of MAC Appeal No. 269/2023.

The learned counsel for applicants has submitted that the appellant/ Insurance Company has already deposited an amount of Rs. 8,38,500/ (Rupees eight lakhs thirty eight thousand five hundred only) which is 50% of the total awarded amount before the Registry of this Court in pursuant to the order dated 09.10.2023, passed in I.A. (Civil) No. 1972/2023, arising out of MAC Appeal No. 269/2023 and the applicants have prayed for to withdraw the said deposited amount of Rs. 8,38,500/ (Rupees eight lakhs thirty eight thousand five hundred only).

The learned counsel for the appellant/ Insurance Company has no objection to withdraw the said deposited amount.

The applicant No. 1 is directed to withdraw the said 50% of the total awarded amount from the Registry after proper verification and identification by the concerned advocate.

With the above observation, the I.A. stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant