

GAHC010240492023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6252/2023

DR. PRITIMONI GOGOI @ PRITIMONI GOGOI PHUKAN AND 3 ORS.

D/O- UPENDRA NATH ,

R/O- BHULA COLONY , WARD NO- 14,

P.O- CHEREKAPARA, DIST- SIBSAGAR, ASSAM, PIN-785701

2: CHEHNAZ RAHMAN

D/O- LATE HABIBUR RAHMAN

R/O- HOON MOINA PATH

P.O- PURANA TITABOR

DIST- JORHAT

ASSAM

PIN-785630

3: KABITA BORAH

D/O- GOLAP CH. BORAH

R/O- NAMTI RATANPUR SATTRA

P.O- NAMTI DOLL

DIST- SIVASAGAR

ASSAM

PIN-785684

4: MD. RAJAK ALI

S/O- AFTAB ALI

R/O- RANGIA STADIUM COLONY

WARD NO-5

P.O AND P.S- RANGIA

DIST- KAMRUP
ASSAM

PIN-78135

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF ASSAM, SOCIAL WELFARE
DEPARTMENT , BLOCK-D, 1ST FLOOR, JANATA BHAWAN, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-06

2:THE UNION OF INDIA
REP. BY THE JOINT SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT
SHASTRI BHAWAN
NEW DELHI-01

3:THE DIRECTORATE OF SOCIAL WELFARE

REP. BY THE DIRECTOR
KACHARI GHAT
ASSAM
UJANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001

4:THE DIRECTORATE OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT
UZAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI-01

5:THE STATE CHILD PROTECTION SOCIETY
SURVEY BUS STOP
BELTOLA ROAD
GUWAHATI-28

6:THE STATE MISSION DIRECTOR
MISSION VATSALAYA
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE

SURVEY BUS STOP
BELTOLA ROAD
GUWAHATI-28

7:THE MEMBER SECRETARY
STATE CHILD PROTECTION SOCIETY

MISSION VATSALAYA
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE

SURVEY BUS STOP
BELTOLA ROAD
GUWAHATI-2

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. U SAIKIA

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR

ORDER

Date : 04.03.2024

Heard Mr. U. Saikia, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. D. Nath, learned Senior Govt. Advocate for the respondent Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 and Mr. R. K. D. Choudhury, learned DSGI, for the respondent No.2.

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner No.2 is not interested in pursuing the matter and accordingly, her name may be deleted from the list of the petitioners.

3. Accordingly, let the name of the petitioner No.2 be deleted from array of petitioners.

4. The petitioners are working in various posts namely, Protection Officer, Assistant-cum-DEO, Counselor and Data Analyst in various district of Assam under the State Child Protection Society, Assam. The services of the petitioners

are contractual in nature. For implementation of a Central Scheme, namely, Mission Vatsalya, an Advertisement was issued for filling up of various posts, wherein, the age limit was also prescribed. The petitioners are before this Court praying for relaxation of the age limit inasmuch as they have become over age in terms of the Advertisement.

5. Today, when the matter is taken up, the learned Senior Govt. Advocate on written instructions submits that the upper age limit for all the departmental candidates has been relaxed and therefore submits that the matter has become infructuous.

6. Recording the submissions made by the learned Senior Govt. Advocate, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant