

GAHC010228502023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(CrI.)/981/2023

M/S K.S. OILS LTD. AND 6 ORS.
A COMPANY WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956 AND
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT MORENA 476001, MADHYA PRADESH REP.
BY SRI KAPIL JHA.

2: KISHORE KUMAR GARG
S/O. LT. GACHALAL GARG
DIRECTOR
M/S K.S. OILS LTD.

3: MOHANLAL GARG
S/O. LT. TOTARAM RAM GARG
DIRECTOR
M/S K.S. OILS LTD.

4: RAMESH GARG
S/O. LT. TOTARAM GARG
DIRECTOR
M/S K.S. OILS LTD.

5: GOBINDA GARG
S/O. LT. TOTARAM GARG
DIRECTOR
M/S K.S. OILS LTD.

6: GOPAL GARG
S/O. LT. TOTARAM GARG
DIRECTOR
M/S K.S. OILS LTD.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR.
REP. BY PP, ASSAM.

2:H. C. KALITA
FOOD INSPECTOR
KAMRUP
GHY.
OFFICE OF THE JOINT DIRECTOR HEALTH SERVICE
KAMRUP
GHY

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. S CHAKRAVARTY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN

ORDER

Date : 03.01.2024

Heard Ms. S. Chakravarty, learned counsel for the applicants. Also heard Mr. B.B. Gogoi, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

2. This interlocutory application is filed by the seven applicants, namely, M/s K.S. Oils Ltd., Sri Kishore Kumar Garg, Sri Om Prakash Garg, Sri Mohan Lal Garg, Sri Ramesh Garg, Sri Gobinda Garg and Sri Gopal Garg for correction of the typographical error that occurred in the judgment dated 30.05.2022 passed by this Court in CrI.Rev.P. No. 151/2020.

3. Ms. Chakravarty, learned counsel for the applicants submits that in the judgment dated 30.05.2022, in CrI.Rev.P. No. 151/2020, this Court, while allowing the said revision petition, in paragraph Nos. 1, 2, 4, 16 and 19 the number of C.R. Case has been mentioned as 2834/2001 and in fact the same ought to have been 1200/2002 and therefore, Ms. Chakravarty contends that above typographical mistake may be corrected.

4. Mr. B.B. Gogoi, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor also submits that in the

judgment dated 30.05.2022 in the aforementioned paragraphs, the number of C.R. Case has been mentioned as 2834/2001 instead of 1200/2002.

5. Having heard the submission of learned Advocates of both the sides, I have gone through this application, the documents placed on record and the judgment dated 30.05.2022 passed by this Court in Crl.Rev.P. No. 151/2020.

6. It appears that the number of C.R. Case has been mentioned in the aforesaid judgment as 2834/2001 instead of 1200/2002 in paragraphs No. 1, 2, 4, 16 and 19.

7. Accordingly, it is provided that the number of the C.R. Case in the aforesaid judgment shall be read as 1200/2002, instead of 2834/2001, for all purpose.

8. In terms of the above, this interlocutory application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant