

GAHC010218842019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6829/2019

ANANTA LAL YADAV AND 3 ORS.
S/O- LT ANIRUDHA YADAV, R/O- VILL AND P.O. BIDYANAGAR, DIST-
KARIMGANJ, ASSAM

2: DURGA PRASAD RABIDAS
S/O- LT RAJA RAM RABIDAS
R/O- VILL AND P.O. ISABHEEL
DIST- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM

3: AJAY GOSWAMI
S/O- INDRADEV GOSWAMI
R/O- VILL AND P.O. FANAIRBOND
DIST- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM

4: BIJOY KUMAR GOSWAMI
S/O- INDRADEV GOSWAMI
R/O- VILL- DAKSHIN CHERAGI
AND P.O. CHERAGI
DIST- KARIMGANJ
ASSA

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS.
REP. BY THE SECY.. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA, TELECOMMUNICATION
DEPTT., SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20, ASHOKA ROAD, NEW DELHI- 110001

2: BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LTD.
REP. BY THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
BSNL BHAWAN
HARISH CHANDRA MATHUR LANE JANPATH ROAD
NEW DELHI- 110001

3:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
TELECOM
ASSAM CIRCLE
BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LTD. (BSNL)
PANBAZAR
GHY-01

4:THE GENERAL MANAGER
BSNL SILCHAR E-10B BUILDING
3RD FLOOR
DACCAIPATTY
SILCHAR-0

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M KHAN, MR. J RAHMAN,MR R ISLAM,KAKALI DEVI

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I., SC, BSNL,MR. B PATHAK,MR. R THADANI,MR. B C PATHAK

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 12.08.2024

Heard Mr. M. Khan, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. B. Pathak, learned Standing Counsel for the BSNL appearing for all the respondents.

Mr. M. Khan, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that in view of the affidavit filed by the respondents in the matter, disputed questions having come to the forefront, he would not like to press the writ petition any further. Accordingly, he prays that he be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to approach the respondent BSNL for claiming certain arrear payments due to him.

The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is not

objected to by Mr. B. Pathak, learned Standing Counsel for the BSNL.

In view of the above, the writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to approach the respondents with full particulars, pertaining to the arrears in respect of the rent and/or watch and ward wages, if any, receivable, by them.

In the event of such application being preferred by the petitioners, the BSNL authorities shall examine the same, strictly, with accordance with the agreements in place.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant