

GAHC010213842023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/3258/2023

ROHIT KUMAR MORE
S/O- LATE OM PRAKASH MORE,
R/O- 6 PREMA HOUSE,
CHOWKIDINGEE , C.R BUILDING,
DIBRUGARH, PIN-786003, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MALASRI NANDI

ORDER

Date : 05.01.2024

Heard Mr. R.Hussain, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. B.B.Gogoi, learned Addl.Public prosecutor for the State respondent.

By this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner, namely, **Rohit Kumar More** has prayed for pre-arrest bail apprehending arrest in connection with **BI (E.O.) P.S. Case No. 03/2014** under Sections 120B/409/379/411 of the IPC read with Section

32/33/34/40/41/49 of Assam Forest Rule (Amendment) Act.

Case diary is received.

Mr. R.Hussain, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the conditions of the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner vide order, dated 25.09.2023, the petitioner has appeared before the I.O. and got his statements recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C.

On perusal of the case diary, it is seen that the petitioner is co-operating in the investigation and there is no adverse report against him from the I.O.. The case diary reveals no justifiable reason for custodial interrogation of the petitioner in the interest of further investigation of the case.

In view of above, the interim anticipatory bail granted in favour of the petitioner named above, vide order, dated **25.09.2023**, is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions (i) that the petitioner shall appear before the I.O. as and when required for the purpose of investigation; (ii) that the petitioner shall not hamper or tamper with the investigation in any manner.

With the above directions, the anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

Return the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant