

GAHC010211742019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Pet./1002/2019

NABAM HARI
S/O- NABAM TAKEH, R/O- VILL- YUPIA III PAPUMA PARE, ARUNACHAL
PRADESH

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
REP. BY DIRECTOR CBI, NEW DELHI

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M G SINGH, MR. M K DUTTA, MR. S K SINGHA

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, CBI,

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

21/08/2024

No representation on behalf of the petitioner on call, though names of three counsels have been reflected in the cause list.

In the first half also, when the matter was taken up for consideration, the petitioner remained unrepresented.

From the order sheet, it is seen that on the previous occasion, i.e., on 25.07.2024, the petitioner remained unrepresented.

Heard Mr. M Haloi, learned Standing counsel, CBI for the respondent.

By order dated 25.07.2024, it was already recorded that since 19.12.2019, the interim order passed earlier on 02.09.2019 observing that no coercive measure shall be initiated against the petitioner was not extended.

This criminal petition has been filed by the petitioner for quashing of the regular case being R.C. Case No. 3A2019SHG, P.S. CBI/ACB, Shillong registered under Sections 120B/420 IPC read with Section 13(2) and 13(1)(d) of P.C. Act initiated against him.

This criminal petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant