

GAHC010202332024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5004/2024

AJAY NATH
PROPRIETOR OF M/S LANDS PERIODICALS,
M.L NEHRU ROAD, PANBAZAR, GUWAHATI-01, ASSAM

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF
MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES, UDYOG BHAWAN, RAFI
MARG, NEW DELHI-11

2:MINISTRY OF MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES

UDYOG BHAWAN
RAFI MARG
NEW DELHI-11

REP. BY THE SECRETARY

MINISTRY OF
MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES

3:DELHI ARBITRATION CENTRE
REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR

37/8

RAJPURA ROAD
CIVIL LINES
TIS HAZARI
DELHI-54

4:DEEPAK BHANWALA
ARBITRATOR

DELHI ARBITRATION CENTRE

37/8
RAJPURA ROAD
CIVIL LINES
TIS HAZARI
DELHI-54

5:MANISHA JAIN
ONE OF THE PRIME AND CHIEF EXECUTIVES OF M/S WEAVER BIRD
INTERACTIVE
FLAT NO-AN-2D
SHALIMAR BAGH
DELHI-8

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. A. K. Bhuyan, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. R. K. D. Choudhury, Dy.SGI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 25.09.2024

Mr. A. K. Bhuyan the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be well advised to raise all the issues before the Arbitral Tribunal rather than proceeding with the instant writ petition.

2. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would not like to press the instant writ petition. He however submits that on account of the withdrawal of the instant writ petition, the petitioner should not be prejudiced.

3. Taking into account of above, the instant writ petition stands closed

on withdrawal.

4. It is made clear that the petitioner herein shall not be prejudiced on account of the withdrawal of the writ petition and the petitioner would be entitled to raise all such issues as available under the law before the Arbitral Tribunal including the question of jurisdiction as per Section 16 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant