

GAHC010195402024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4899/2024

KAUSTAV DAS
S/O-LATE HIRENDRA CHANDRA DAS,
VILLAGE- TULARGRAM PART-II,
P.O.- SONAIMUKH, P.S- SONAI,
DIST.- CACHAR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF ASSAM,
SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE STATE LEVEL COMMITTEE (FOR COMPASSIONATE APPOINTMENT)
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

3:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19.

4:THE DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE
CACHAR (FOR COMPASSIONATE APPOINTMENT)
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN/ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CACHAR
P.O.
P.S. AND DISTRICT- CACHAR

ASSAM.

5:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
CDC
SILCHAR
CACHAR
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A M BARBHUIYA, S. M. ZINNA,MS S R
MAZARBHUIYA,MS A BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU., GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR

ORDER

Date : 23.09.2024

Heard Ms. A. Begum, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Also heard Mr. U. Sarma, learned standing counsel, Secondary Education Department, for the respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 5 and Mr. G. Pegu, learned Government Advocate, for the respondent Nos. 2 & 4.

3. The father of the petitioner, late Hirendra Chandra Das while serving as an Assistant Teacher at Sampat Ram Tapashil High School, Cachar, Assam died in harness on 10.03.2010. Thereafter, the mother of the petitioner made an application for appointment on compassionate ground on 09.02.2011 for a Grade-IV post. The application made by the mother of the petitioner was not considered at any point of time and in the meantime, the petitioner attained majority and accordingly, the petitioner made his application for appointment on compassionate ground on 27.03.2018.

4. The grievance of the petitioner before this Court is that his application is yet to be considered by the concerned DLC.
5. Considering the limited prayer involved, this writ petition is disposed of directing the authorities to place the application of the petitioner before the concerned DLC in its next immediate sitting. However, if the DLC has already considered the case of the petitioner, the outcome of such consideration should be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.
6. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant