

GAHC010192452023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5111/2023

DR. JOYDEEP PURKAYASTHA
FORMER PROFESSOR AND HEAD, DEPARTMENT OF SURGICAL
ONCOLOGY, DR. B BAROOAH CANCER INSTITUTE, RESIDENT OF FLAT
NO. H2, ASHIRBAD ENCLAVE, BEHIND HOTEL SHIVA, MAZAR ROAD,
BHANGAGARH, GUWAHATI-07, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY, ANUSHAKTI BHAVAN, MUMBAI,
MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

2:TATA MEMORIAL CENTRE
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
GOVERNING COUNCIL
DR. E BORGES ROAD
PAREL
MUMBAI- 400012
INDIA

3:THE GOVERNING COUNCIL
TATA MEMORIAL CENTRE
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
DR. E BORGES ROAD
PAREL
MUMBAI- 400012
INDIA

4:DIRECTOR
GOVERNING COUNCIL
TATA MEMORIAL CENTRE

DR. E BORGES ROAD
PAREL
MUMBAI- 400012
INDIA

5:DR. B BOROOAH CANCER INSTITUTE
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
GOPINATH NAGAR
A K AZAD ROAD
GUWAHATI- 781016
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S BORTHAKUR

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY**

ORDER

Date : 28-02-2024

Heard Mr. S Dutta learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.4.

The present writ petition is filed with a prayer to issue writ of mandamus and any other writ directing the respondent authorities more particularly, the respondent No.3 to dispose of the appeal dated 15.02.2023 preferred by the petitioner within a stipulated period of one month.

The respondent authorities filed an affidavit and annexed the decision of the governing counsel of the respondent Tata Memorial Center whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioner has been disposed of and by the aforesaid order, the appeal preferred by the petitioner was dismissed.

In that view of the matter, in the considered opinion of this

court the prayer made in the writ petition has already been redressed.

Accordingly this writ petition stands closed being infructuous.

It is needless to say that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision of the appellate authority, he can very well challenge the same and avail the remedy available to him.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant