

GAHC010192162024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(CrI.)/904/2024

RAJEN PHONGLO @ RAJENDRA PHONGLO
S/O. LATE NATUN PHONGLO, R/O. VILL. PRASADIMDIK, P/S. MAIBONG,
DIST. DIMA HASAO.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

2:SMTI HAMLIK PHONGLO
W/O. RAJEN PHONGLO@ RAJENDRA PHONGLO
R/O. VILL. DIMALHRO
P/S. MAIBONG
DIST. DIMA HASAO
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. B SARMA,

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

23.09.2024

(S.K. Medhi, J.)

Heard Ms. B. Sarma, learned Legal Aid Counsel for the applicant, who by

means of this application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 has prayed for condonation of delay of two days in preferring the criminal appeal against the judgment and order dated 05.07.2024 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Dima Hasao in Sessions Case No. 6/16.

Also heard Ms. S. Jahan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam.

Ms. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant, by referring to the averments made in paragraph 4, has submitted that there are reasonable explanation for the delay which is also of only two days.

Ms. Jahan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam does not raise serious objection on the issue of condonation of delay.

Considering the nature of the appeal and after hearing the learned counsel, the delay of two days in preferring the connected appeal is condoned and the I.A. stands allowed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant