

GAHC010192072024



2024:GAU-AS:9749

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5028/2024

ROWSANA YEASMIN LASKAR
DAUGHTER OF LATE BADURN NESSA LASKAR,
VILLAGE- BOALIPAR, P.O.- BOALIPAR BAZAR,
P.S. AND DISTRICT- HAILAKANDI, ASSAM,
PIN- 788155.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM,
SCHOOL EDUCATION (ELEMENTARY) DEPARTMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 06.

2:THE DIRECTOR
ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19.

3:THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
HAILAKANDI.

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
HAILAKANDI CUM CHAIRMAN OF THE DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE
HAILAKANDI
DIST.- HAILAKANDI.

5:THE DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE
DLC
HAILAKANDI
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER

HAILAKANDI CUM CHAIRMAN OF THE DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE
HAILAKANDI.

6:THE STATE LEVEL COMMITTEE

SLC

TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM CUM CHAIRMAN OF STATE LEVEL COMMITTEE

DISPUR

GUWAHATI-06

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K A MAZUMDER, S A ISLAM, MISS R A CHOUDHURY, MR
E AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, ELEM. EDU, GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR
ORDER

27.09.2024

Heard Mr. E. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. S. Chutia, learned Standing Counsel, Elementary Education Department, Government of Assam, for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 and Ms. D.D. Barman, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, for respondent Nos.4, 5 and 6.

2. The mother of the petitioner late Badrun Nessa Laskar died in harness on 26.01.2009 while serving as Assistant Teacher (Hindi) in Choudhury Para M.E. Madrassa in Hailakandi district, Assam. Thereafter, the petitioner made an application for appointment on compassionate ground on 24.03.2009. However, as there was no information with regard to the application filed by the petitioner, the petitioner again made other applications on 01.10.2010, 27.11.2014, 31.10.2019 and 10.09.2024. However, there was no response to the applications made by the petitioner.

3. Being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court praying for a direction to the respondents for placing her case before the concerned DLC.
4. Considering the limited prayer involved, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to place the case of the petitioner before the next immediate sitting of the concerned DLC. However, if the DLC has already considered the case of the petitioner, the outcome of such consideration shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.
5. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant