

GAHC010127002024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(CrI.)/836/2024

RAJEEV UPADHAY ALIAS REJEEV UPADHAYAY
S/O JITENDRA UPADHAYAY R/O PASCHIM MOHALLA
LOHVAN
P.S. JAMUNA PALLIPAR DIST.MATHURA
UTTAR PRADESH
PIN-281204

VERSUS

NARCOTICS CONTROL BUREAU
GUWAHATI ZONAL UNIT
GUWAHATI
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR NEERAJ ANAND
Advocate for : SC NCB appearing for NARCOTICS CONTROL BUREAU

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 06.09.2024

Heard Mr. N. Anand, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. K. Jain, learned counsel representing Mr. S.C. Keyal, learned Special Public Prosecutor, NCB for the opposite party.

2. The instant interlocutory application is preferred seeking correction of typographical error appearing in the accompanying bail application, B.A. no. 1868/2024. It is averred that in the cause-title and the prayer portion of the accompanying bail application, B.A. no.

1868/2024, it has been inadvertently mentioned as 'Sections 21[b][ii][C]/29/35/54/66/68 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances [NDPS] Act, 1985' instead 'Sections 20[b][ii][C]/29/35/54/66/68 of the NDPS Act, 1985'.

3. The learned counsel for the opposite party has submitted that as the error appears to be inadvertent typographical error, he has no objection if the correction is allowed.

4. As it transpires that the error is an inadvertent typographical error, the instant interlocutory application is allowed. Accordingly, in the cause-title and in the prayer portion of the accompanying bail application, B.A. no. 1864/2024, the penal provision is to be read as 'Sections 20[b][ii][C]/29/35/54/ 66/68 of the NDPS Act, 1985' instead of 'Sections 21[b][ii][C]/29/35/54/66/68 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances [NDPS] Act, 1985'.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant