

GAHC010173622019



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/5244/2019**

AJOY THINGNOK  
S/O JIBAN THINGNOK, R/O DEPMALI, P.O.AND P.S. DEOMALI, DIST. TIRAP,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS.  
THROUGH THE SECRETARY, DEPTT. OF PETROLEUM, NEW DELHI-1

2:THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR  
BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD. MUMBAI-1

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER (RETAIL)  
GUWAHATI TETAIL TERRITORY OFFICE  
GMCH ROAD  
CHRISTIAN BASTI  
GUWAHATI-5  
DIST. KAMRUP (M)  
ASSAM

4:APHONG KHOISIA  
R/O DEOMALU  
P.O. AND P.S. DEOMALI  
DIST. TIRAP  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
PIN-79212

**Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A KHANIKAR**

**Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I.**

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

**ORDER**

**22.07.2024**

Heard Mr. A. Khanikar, learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Mr. S. Borthakur, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Assailing the selection of the respondent No.4 as the retail outlet dealer of petroleum for Deomali in the district of Tirap, Arunachal Pradesh, the writ petition has been filed contending that the respondent BPCL have acted in violation of their guidelines framed for selection of the retail outlet dealer.

This Court had issued notice on 29.07.2019 and the petitioner was to take steps upon the respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4.

The petitioner's counsel submits that he has not been able to communicate with his client for the last 5 years.

The proceeding of the Lawazima Court dated 10.06.2024 shows that the petitioner has not taken steps for service of notice upon the respondent No.4.

In view of above, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for not complying with the directions to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No.4.

**Sd/- Michael Zothankhuma  
JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**