

GAHC010158232024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/425/2024

JANA SING RONGHANG AND ANR
S/O- LUNSE RONGHANG, R/O- VILL.- LUNSE RONGHANG ARONG, P.O.
AND P.S. MANJA, PIN- 782461, DIST. KARBI ANGLONG, ASSAM

2: SONA SING TERANG
S/O- LONGKI TERANG
R/O- VILL.- LUNSE RONGHANG ARONG
P.O. AND P.S. MANJA
PIN- 782461
DIST. KARBI ANGLONG
ASSA

VERSUS

TENSING RONGPI
THE JOINT SECRETARY CUM COMPETENT AUTHORITY FOR LAND
ACQUISITION (CALA), REVENUE DEPARTMENT, KARBI ANGLONG
AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL, DIPHU, KARBI ANGLONG, ASSAM, PIN- 782460.

Advocate for the petitioner(s): Mr. P Bordoloi

Advocate for the respondent(s): Mr. J Chutia, Standing Counsel, KAAC

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

18.09.2024

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 08.05.2024 passed in WP(C)No.2342/2024.

2. It is seen from the order dated 08.05.2024 passed by this Court that the respondent No.7 was directed to dispose of the Land Complaint Case No.4/2022 by passing a speaking order within a period of 2(two) months after service of notice and after affording due opportunities to all the stakeholders including the petitioners and the respondent Nos.10-14.

3. It is seen from the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the respondents that pursuant to the order dated 08.05.2024 passed by this Court, an order has been passed on 14.08.2024 by the Joint Secretary cum Cala, Department of Revenue, KAAC, Diphu. Taking into account the order dated 14.08.2024, passed by the Joint Secretary cum Cala, Department of Revenue, KAAC, this Court is of the opinion that the order dated 08.05.2024 in WP(C)No.2342/2024 has been duly complied with, for which, the instant contempt proceedings stands dropped.

4. Mr. P Bordoloi, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the said order had been passed without hearing the petitioners. In the opinion of this Court that the said issue would be a separate cause of action, for which, the petitioners would be required to challenge the order dated 14.08.2024 as per the extant provisions of law.

5. Contempt petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant