

GAHC010150312024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2231/2024

KAMAL CHANDRA DEKA
S/O LT. CHANDRA KANTA DEKA, R/O LAKHIPUR, MG PATH, CHRISTIAN
BASTI, PIN 781005.

VERSUS

LEGAL HEIRS OF RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
KOLKUM BIBI AND ORS

2:KOLSUM BIBI

W/O LATE RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
R/O VILLAGE KOBIKARA
P.O. JONARAM CHOWKA
P.S. MANGALDOI
DISTRICT DARRANG
ASSAM

3:SIRAJUL HAQUE

S/O LATE RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
R/O VILLAGE KOBIKARA
P.O. JONARAM CHOWKA
P.S. MANGALDOI
DISTRICT DARRANG
ASSAM

4:MEHRUN NESSA
D/O LATE RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
R/O VILLAGE BORDOLGURI
P.O. AND P.S. SIPAJHAR
DISTRICT DARRANG

ASSAM

5:PORISA BEGUM

D/O LATE AMZED ALI
R/O VILLAGE MUSLIMGHOPA
P.O. AND P.S. SIPAJHAR
DISTRICT DARRANG
ASSAM

6:ANARA BEGUM

D/O LATE RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
W/O PUNA MUNSHI
R/O VILLAGE MUSLIMGHOPA
P.O. AND P.S. SIPAJHAR
DISTRICT DARRANG
ASSAM

7:MINARA BEGUM

D/O LATE RAHIMUDDIN AHMED
R/O VILLAGE KOBIKARA
P.O. JONARAM CHOWKA
P.S. MANGALDOI
DISTRICT DARRANG
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. SHEELADITYA, Advocate

Advocate for the Respondent : None appears

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 03-10-2024

This Court vide an order dated 23.09.2024 had sought for a report from the Registry as to why in the certified copy of the judgment dated 02.05.2024 passed in SAO No. 7/2012 the names of the respondent Nos. 2 to 7 are different from the names which actually appear in the Appeal Memo in SAO No. 7/2012.

2. In this respect, the Registry had submitted a report on 01.10.2024 wherein it was mentioned that certain mistakes crept in the CIS system which had resulted in such an anomaly.
3. It was also mentioned in the report that necessary correction can be carried out in the CIS system and thereupon a proper certified copy can be issued.
4. Taking into account the above, this Court disposes of the instant application thereby directing the Registry to issue a fresh certified copy to the applicant by removing such defects and showing the names of the parties whose name actually appears in the Appeal Memo in SAO No. 7/2012.
5. The same be issued immediately forthwith upon fresh application being filed by the applicant.
6. With the above observations and directions, the instant I.A. stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant