

GAHC010149902020



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/502/2020

DIPANKAR PAUL
S/O SRI DILIP KUMAR PAUL, R/O WARD NO. 4, P.B. ROAD, PO AND PS
GAURIPUR, DIST. DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN-783331

VERSUS

BHUPEN TALUKDAR AND 2 ORS
THE DIRECTOR, NON FORMAL AND ADULT EDUCATION, ASSAM, HAVING
ITS OFFICE AT KAHILIPARA, GUWAHATI-781019

2:ANANT LAL GYANI
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI
PO DHUBRI
DIST. DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-783301

3:SUDDHAD KUMAR DUTTA
DISTRICT ADULT EDUCATION OFFICER
DHUBRI
PO AND PS DHUBRI
DIST. DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-78330

For the petitioner (s) : Mr. B. Paul, Advocate

For the respondent (s) : Mr. R. Mazumdar, Advocate

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
O R D E R

13.12.2024

Heard Mr. B. Paul, the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The instant contempt application has been filed alleging willful and deliberate violation to the order dated 10.02.2020 passed in WP(C) No.7523/2016 whereby there was a direction passed by this Court to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment under the die in harness scheme by placing the matter before the concerned DLC, Dhubri and the DLC, Dhubri thereafter to take consequential action thereof. It is seen from the records that the said order was placed before the respondents vide the communication dated 03.03.2020. Thereupon, on account of the outbreak of the COVID-19, the case of the petitioner could not be immediately taken up.

3. Be that as it may, the DLC, meeting was held on 05.12.2020 and the DLC could not recommend the application of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground since the Committee was of the opinion that the application was filed beyond the prescribed period of one year from the death of the employee, and apart from that, the applicant was found under-

aged at the time of filing the prayer petition.

4. Taking into account the above, it cannot be said that the order passed by this Court on 10.02.2020 in WP(C) No.7523/2016 have not been complied with. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings stands dropped.

5. Be that as it may, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision so taken in the DLC meeting held on 05.02.2020, the petitioner is always at liberty to assail the same, if otherwise permissible under law.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant