

GAHC010147252023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3921/2023

ASWINI SHARMA
(PROPRIETOR OF M/S ANKUR CHITRAM), S/O- SRI ROHINI KUMAR
SARMA, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- GANESH NAGAR, BELTOLA, POST
OFFICE- BELTOLA, POLICE STATION- BASISTHA, GUWAHATI- 781029,
DISTRICT- KAMRUP (METRO), ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI- 781006

2:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI- 781003

3:THE CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI- 781003

4:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PAHUMARA
RUPAHI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)/SARUPETA
DISTRICT- BARPETA
ASSAM

5:THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

BARPETA ROAD SUB-DIVISION SARUPETA
DISTRICT- BARPETA
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B P SINHA

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, IRRIGATION

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

ORDER

24.07.2024

Heard B.P. Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. N. Upadhyay, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner's grievance is that the petitioner has been given part payment during the proceedings of this case. However, he is yet to receive Rs.1,97,470/- for "Production of Documentary Film on Pahumara CAD Project under Pahumara Rupahi Division (Irrigation), Sarupeta." He submits that a direction may be issued to the respondents to verify the bill of the petitioner and pay the admitted amount to the petitioner.

3. Mr. N. Upadhyay, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submits that he has got no objection to the prayer of the petitioner.

4. In view of the consent of the parties, the respondents, especially the respondent No.2, shall verify the prayer of the petitioner for payment of the outstanding amount of Rs.1,97,470/- and pay the admitted amount thereafter. The entire exercise should be completed within a period of 3(three) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant