

GAHC010142332024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/384/2024

MD. JAKIR HUSSAIN AND 2 ORS.
S/O- AMIR HUSSAIN, R/O- VILL.- RUHINIKASH, P.O AND P.S. DALGAON,
DIST. DARRANG, ASSAM, PIN- 784112.

2: JAMIR ALI
S/O- ABUL HUSSAIN
VILL- RUHINIKASH
P.O. AND P.S. DALGAON
DIST. DARRANG
ASSAM
PIN- 784112.

3: ALI AKBAR SHEIKH
S/O- AZAD ALI
R/O- VILL.- KONAKATAPARA
P.O. AND P.S. DALGAON
DIST. DARRANG
ASSAM
PIN- 784112

VERSUS

RIJUMONI SARMA AND 2 ORS.
THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER (RE) RURAL ELECTRIFICATION, BIJULEE
BHAWAN, PALTANBAZAR, GUWAHATI-781001.

2:BIJOY SHANKAR BARUA
THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER (PP AND D) RURAL ELECTRIFICATION
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001.

3:DIGANTA BORA
THE CHIEF ELECTRICAL OFFICER

MANGALDAI ELECTRICAL CIRCLE
MANGALDAI
ASSAM
PIN- 784125

For the petitioners : Mr. S.A. Ahmed, Advocate
For the respondents : Mr. B. Choudhury, Advocate
For respondent Nos.1 & 2

– B E F O R E –

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. VIJAY BISHNOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

19-12-2024

(Vijay Bishnoi, C.J.)

This contempt petition is filed by the petitioners complaining that the order dated 18.10.2023 passed by this Court in PIL 67/2023 has not been complied with.

This Court vide order dated 18.10.2023 while disposing of PIL 67/2023 has granted liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh representation before the respondents for ventilating their grievances with further observation that a reasoned speaking order be passed on the representation and the same be communicated to the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of submission of copy of the said order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as a matter of fact, the order dated 18.10.2023 passed by this Court had been communicated to the concerned authorities vide letter dated 06.11.2023.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that the representation filed by the petitioners has already been decided on 18.12.2024 by

a reasoned speaking order.

In view of the fact that the representation filed by petitioners has already been decided, we do not find any reason to continue with this contempt petition. Therefore, this contempt petition is disposed of.

Notices issued earlier stand discharged.

However, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the order passed by the APDCL on their representation, they are always free to initiate appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant