

GAHC010119952023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3985/2018

BINOD KALITA AND 9 ORS.
S/O- GUNE KALITA
VILL AND PO- 1 NO AMTOLA
PS CHAYYAGAON
DIST- KAMRUP (R)
ASSAM

2: DHRITIRAJ DAS
S/O- SANATAN DAS
VILL- AZARA
PO AND PS AZARA
GHY-17
DIST- KAMRUP (ASSAM)

3: SAHJAHAN ALI
S/O- SAMAD ALI
VILL- SARPARA
PS- PALASHBARI
DIST- KAMRUP (R)
ASSAM

4: RAHUL CHOUDHURY
S/O- BABUL CHOUDHURY
PO AND PS BAIHATA CHARIALI
DIST- KAMRUP (R)
ASSAM

5: MUZAKKIR RAHMAN
S/O- HABIBAR RAHMAN
VILL AND PO BARALABARI
PS CHANGSARI
DIST- KAMRUP (R)

6: KABIN DAS
S/O- LT SIDDHESWAR DAS
DAKHINGAON
KAHILIPARA
PO KAHILIPARA
PS DISPUR
DIST- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

7: MD. LATIFUL ALI
S/O- MD. AZAD ALI
VILL- 1 NO ANANDANAGAR
PO AND PS NOONMATI
GHY-20
DIST- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

8: DAUD AHMED
S/O- AFZALUDDIN AHMED
VILL- GANEKJHAR
PO MAHAJANPARA
PS- BAIHATA CHARIALI
DIST- KAMRUP (R)
ASSAM

9: MISS HASNA BEGUM
D/O- HASMAT ALI
VILL AND PO DUMUNICHUKI
PS MANGALDOI
DIST- DARRANG
ASSAM

10: ISPAQUL CHOUDHURY
S/O- JAHUR CHOUDHURY
VILL
PO AND PS CHANGSARI
DIST- KAMRUP (R)
ASSAM
VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.
REP. BY THE UNDER SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FISHERY DEPTT.
DISPUR
GHY-6

2:THE ADDL. SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FISHERY DEPTT.

DISPUR
GHY-6

3:THE DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES
ASSAM MEEN BHAVAN
BIRUBARI
GHY-16

4:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES
ASSAM MEEN BHAVAN
BIRUBARI
GHY-16

Advocate for : MR. M RAHMAN
Advocate for : SC
AFDC appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG

ORDER

Date : 06.09.2024

Heard Mr. P. C. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioners along with Mr. S. S. Roy, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the WP(C)/3985/2018 tagged along with the instant writ petition has been un-represented for a long time, he submits that the orders dated 27.03.2024 and 31.05.2024 in WP(C)/3985/2018 is not correct since he is not representing the writ petitioners in the said writ petition.

Having heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, on perusal of the previous orders of this Court, it is seen that the counsel Mr. M. Rahman had represented the writ petitioners on 22.06.2018. Thereafter, it appears that the said counsel Mr. M. Rahman have not appeared

on behalf of the petitioners in WP(C)/3985/2018.

However, since WP(C)/3182/2023 and WP(C)/3985/2018 were tagged together, it appears that the Court was under the impression that Mr. S. P. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C)/3182/2023 was also representing the writ petitioners in WP(C)/3985/2018.

In view of the above, considering the fact that the petitioners were last represented on 22.06.2018 and had remained un-represented since then, this Court finds it fit to dismiss the WP(C)/3985/2018 for non-prosecution.

Accordingly, WP(C)/3985/2018 stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant