

GAHC010130702020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3822/2020

MAHAMMAD ALI
HINDI TEACHER, KARAGARI PATHAR ANCHALIK HIGH SCHOOL, S/O- LT.
EMAN ALI, P.O. CHAPARBORI, P.S. BARPETA, DIST.- BARPETA, ASSAM,
PIN- 781352

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, DEPTT. OF
SECONDARY EDUCATION, DISPUR, GHY-6

2:THE COMM. AND SECY.
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPTT. OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
DISPUR
GHY-6

3:THE STATE LEVEL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
EDUCATION DEPTT.
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN
DISPUR
GHY-6

4:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GHY-19

5:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
B.D.C.
BARPETA
P.O. AND P.S. BARPETA

DIST.- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN- 781301

6:THE SCHOOL MANAGING COMMITTEE
KARAGARI PATHAR ANCHALIK HIGH SCHOOL
REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT

7:THE HEADMASTER
KARAGARI PATHAR ANCHALIK HIGH SCHOOL
P.O. CHAPORBARI
P.S. BARPETA
DIST.- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN- 781352

8:MD. HIKMOT ALI
2ND HINDI TEACHER
KARAGARI PATHAR ANCHALIK HIGH SCHOOL
P.O. CHAPORBARI
P.S. BARPETA
DIST.- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN- 78135

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S NATH

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR**

ORDER

Date : 08.02.2024

Heard Mr. S. Nath, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. P. Das, learned standing counsel for the Secondary Education Department representing the respondents no. 1, 2, 4 & 5 and Mr. R. Ali, learned counsel for the respondent no. 7 as well as Mr. A. M. Khan, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 8.

Mr. Nath, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner in terms of the directions passed by this Court had approached the learned Educational Tribunal at Barpeta. The learned Educational Tribunal vide its order, dated 03.06.2022, had dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner and the said order is presently under challenge before this Court in WP(C)3918/2022. As such, the prayers made in this present petition, at this juncture, are inconsequential. Accordingly, Mr. Nath, learned counsel submits that he would like to withdraw the present writ petition and the petitioner be permitted to pursue his grievances in WP(C)3918/2022.

The prayer of the petitioner is accepted and the writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal.

The petitioner would be at liberty to pursue his grievances in

WP(C)3918/2022.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant