

GAHC010126752017



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2178/2017

THE GUWAHATI REFINERY EMPLOYEE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD. and
2 ORS., REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
VIZ. SRI JANIK BARMAN,
NOONMATI, GUWAHATI-20.

2: RUDRA BAHADUR CHETRI
S/O. LT. BASUDEV CHETRI.

3: BIMAN CHANDRA KALITA
EMPLOYEE OF GUWAHATI REFINERY LTD.
BOTH ARE RESIDENT OF NOONMATI
GUWAHATI REFINERY
GUWAHATI-781020

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and ANR.
LABOUR and WELFARE DEPTT.,
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER and SECRETARY,
DEPTT. OF LABOUR, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006

2: BANGSHI DHAR HALOI
HOUSE NO. 18
BIJOY NAGAR
NOONMATI
GUWAHATI-781020

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.R T DAS, MR.K DAS, MR.H GOGOI, MR.S DAS, MR.N BARUAH

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.R SARMAR-2, GA, ASSAM, MR.N K HALOI(R-2), MSB DEVI(R-2), MS.K D SARMAH(R-2), MR. K M HASSAN

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

Date : 12.11.2024

Mr. S. Das, learned counsel appears for the petitioners, while Mr. K. M. Hassan, learned counsel appears for the respondent No. 2 and Mr. K. Gogoi, learned counsel appears for the respondent No. 1.

2. Mr. S. Das, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondent No. 2 has been paid all his entitlements, in terms of the Award dated 16.08.2016 passed by the learned Labour Court, Guwahati in Case No. 4/2015. He accordingly submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Mr. K. M. Hassan, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2, on the other hand, submits that he does not have any instructions as to whether the respondent No. 2 (workman) has received all his entitlements. He submits that the writ petition can be closed, on the condition that the writ petitioners issues a letter to the respondent No. 2, indicating the payments made to the respondent No.2, in terms of the Award dated 16.08.2016 passed by the learned Labour Court.

4. Mr. S. Das, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the writ petitioners will issue a letter to the respondent No. 2 or his counsel, indicating the payments made to the respondent No. 2, in terms of the Award dated 16.08.2016 passed in Case No. 4/2015, within one month from the date of closure of this case.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsels for the parties,

the present writ petition stands closed, with a direction being given to the writ petitioners to give a letter to the respondent No. 2 or his counsel, indicating the payments made to the respondent No. 2, in terms of the Award dated 16.08.2016 passed in Case No. 4/2015, within a month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant