

GAHC010122352019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3582/2019

NAMRATA SINGHA
D/O- SRI PRATAP SINGHA, SENIOR CITIZEN COMPLEX, SECTOR 48C,
CHANDIGARH, PIN- 160047

VERSUS

THE GAUHATI UNIVERSITY AND 2 ORS.
REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR, GOPINATH BORDOLOI NAGAR, JALUKBARI,
GHY-14

2:THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION GAUHATI UNIVERSITY
GOPINATH BORDOLOI NAGAR JALUKBARI GHY-14

3:THE UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG
NEW DELHI- 11000

Advocate for the Petitioner :

Advocate for the Respondent : MS. P BARMAN (SC, GU, R- 1,2)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 10.01.2024

In terms of the direction passed by this Court vide order, dated 31.05.2019 in the present writ petition, the petitioner was directed to appear in the 4th Semester of MBA examination "Derivatives Market & Risk Management" held on 03.06.2019.

This Court vide order, dated 21.06.2023, required the learned standing counsel, Mr. P. J. Phukan, learned standing counsel, Gauhati University, to intimate this Court with regard to the result of the petitioner in the said examination.

Today, in a sealed cover, the petitioner's result with regard to the said examination has been placed on record by the learned standing counsel. On consideration of the same, it is seen that in the paper "Derivatives Market & Risk Management", the petitioner had scored 18 marks and it is stated in the Bar that the pass mark is 24 and accordingly, it has to be held that the petitioner has failed to pass in the said examination.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner having overstepped the maximum permissible time available to her to complete her MBA course which she had got herself admitted in the year 2014, there is nothing further to be decided in this writ petition and the petitioner cannot be now permitted to take the said course or examination in violation of the regulations available in this connection of the Gauhati University. Accordingly, in view of the above position, this writ petition is without merit and the same, therefore, stands dismissed.

The instructions as furnished by Mr. Phukan, learned standing counsel, Gauhati University, including the noting of the marks scored by the petitioner in the examination, in question, is not retained and hereby returned to him.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant