

GAHC010118682024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3109/2024

RANUJA BEGUM
W/O- LATE FARHADUL ISLAM @ FORHADUL ISLAM,
VILL- UDMARI PART V,
P.O- LAKHIGANJ,
P.S- BILASIPARA,
DIST- DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN-783351

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, HOME AND POLITICAL DEPARTMENT,
SACHIVALAYA, DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781006

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

3:THE ASSAM STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
REPRESENTED BY ITS MEMBER SECRETARY
GAUHATI HIGH COURT
OLD BUILDING
GUWAHATI
DIST.- KAMRUP(METRO)
ASSAM
PIN- 781001.

4:THE DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY

DHUBRI
ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
P.O. P.S AND DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

5:THE CHAIRMAN
DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
DHUBRI
P.O. P.S AND DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 78330

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. M. Hussain, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. R. S. Chowdhury, Standing Counsel
: Mr. N. Goswami, Government Advocate

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 22.07.2024

The Petitioner herein has filed the instant writ petition being aggrieved by the non-payment of the remaining amount of Rs.3,75,000/- which the Petitioner claims to be entitled to in view of the Assam Victim Compensation Scheme, 2012.

2. This Court vide an order dated 19.06.2024 had sought for instructions from the State Legal Services Authority as to why the Petitioner has been paid only Rs.1,25,000/- out of Rs.5,00,000/-. Today, Ms. R. S. Chowdhury, the learned Standing counsel for the State Legal Services Authority has placed before this Court an instruction dated 21.06.2024 issued by the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority

wherein it has been duly mentioned that on coming to learn that the Petitioner is further entitled to an amount of Rs.3,75,000/-, various attempts were made to trace out the Petitioner but the Petitioner could not be traced out. It has also mentioned in the said instructions that the learned counsel for the petitioner was contacted.

3. Mr. M. Hussain, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has duly appeared before the District Legal Services Authority on 03.07.2024. Taking into account that the District Legal Services Authority is in session of the matter, this Court is not inclined to entertain the instant writ petition at this stage. However, it is made clear that if the Petitioner is aggrieved by the non-payment of the amount after reasonable period of time, the Petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court.

4. The instructions so produced by Ms. R. S. Chowdhury, the learned Standing counsel for the State Legal Services Authority is kept on record and marked with the letter "X".

5. With above observations and directions, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant