GAHC010118122019

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5314/2019

REJEK ALI
S/O- LT HABEJUDDIN, R/O- VILL- LAWTHARI, P.O. JAYSAGAR, P.S. MUKALMUA, DIST- NALBARI, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA, HOME AFFAIRS DEPTT., NEW DELHI-01

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY.
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
HOME DEPTT.
DISPUR
GHY-06

3:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
NEW DELHI-01
INDIA

4:THE STATE CO-ORDINATOR
NRC
ASSAM

5:THE DY. COMMISSIONER
NALBARI
P.O. NALBARI
DIST- NALBARI
ASSAM
PIN- 781335

6:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (B)
NALBARI
P.O. NALBARI
DIST- NALBARI
ASSAM
PIN- 78133

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR A ALI

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

19-01-2024

(M.R.Pathak, J)

Heard Mr. Chandan Talukdar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Ahammad Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. A Gayan, learned CGC for the respondent No. 1. Also heard Ms. A Verma, learned Standing counsel, Home Department, Assam for the respondent Nos. 2 & 6; Mr. A I Ali, learned Standing counsel, Election Commission of India for the respondent No. 3 as well as Mr. P Sharma, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent No. 5.

By this writ petition filed on 26.07.2019, the petitioner, amongst others, has prayed for a direction to the Foreigners' Tribunal No. 2, Nalbari to allow him to submit his additional written statement, additional evidence-on-affidavit as well as further evidence in FT (NAL-2) Case No. 133/2015 that is pending against him and to set aside the impugned order dated 04.05.2019 passed by the learned Member, Foreigners' Tribunal No. 2, Nalbari in said FT (NAL-2) Case No. 133/2015 rejecting the Petition No. 387/2019 filed by him.

Mr. Chandan Talukdar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Ahammad Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, has submitted that the petitioner is not pressing this writ petition, to which the learned counsels for the respondents have no objection.

Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed, being not pressed.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant