

GAHC010098672023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2545/2023

SOURAV DAS
S/O- LATE CHITTA RANJAN DAS ,
VILL- BILASIPARA, WARD NO-14,
P.O AND P.S- BILASIPARA,
DIST- DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN-783348

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REP BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY CUM CHAIRMAN OF THE STATE LEVEL
COMMITTEE, DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781006.

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
PERSONNEL (B) DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI-CUM- CHAIRMAN OF DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE FOR
APPOINTMENT ON COMPASSIONATE GROUND.
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-783301

5:THE SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL)

BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-783348

6:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
NAYERALGA DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
NAYERALGA
P.O AND P.S- BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-78334

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M U MONDAL

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, P AND R.D.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM**

ORDER

22.01.2024

Heard Mr. M. U. Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioner. Perused the averments made in the writ petition.

The father of the writ petitioner, who was serving as a Gram Sevak in the Nayeralga Development Block in the District of Dhubri, died in harness on 08.08.2015. After the demise of the sole bread earner of the family, the petitioner, being his son, had submitted an application on 31.0-8.2015 seeking compassionate appointment against a Grade-III post. The application submitted by the petitioner was also duly recommended by the DLC on 14.02.2023 for appointment against a Grade-III post. The grievance of the petitioner is that the State Level Scrutiny Committee (SLSC) is yet to take a decision on the said recommendation as a result of which, there has been undue delay in the matter.

On instruction obtained by him, Mr. A. Chakraborty, learned Govt. Advocate, Assam appearing for the official respondents submits that the recommendation made in favour of the petitioner will be duly considered by the SLSC as and when the meeting is convened.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the claim made by the petitioner, this writ petition stands disposed of by providing that the case of the petitioner be placed for consideration before the SLSC in its next meeting and a decision be taken in the matter, in accordance with law.

A copy of this order be furnished to the concerned authority for doing the needful.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant