

GAHC010096932023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/255/2023

NITU GOGOI AND 10 ORS.
SON OF LT. SARUNATH GOGOI,
RESIDENT OF BOKATA, BAROPAILGAON,
P.O.- NANGALAMORA
DIST.- SIVASAGAR, PIN- 785674,
ASSAM.

2: DIBYA JYOTI SARMAH
SON OF ROHIT CHANDRA SARMAH

RESIDENT OF VILL.- GHANHI

P.O. GHANHI

DIST.- NAGAON
PIN- 782144

ASSAM.

3: KOHINUR ALI
SON OF MONSER ALI

RESIDENT OF PAJABANDHA

P.O.- TUKURA

DIST.- GOALPARA
PIN- 783126
ASSAM.

4: SHARIF UDDIN LASKAR
SON OF MASARAF ALI LASKAR

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PACHIM NILBAGAN

DIST. 21- NAGAON
PIN- 782445
ASSAM.

5: HITESH BARUAH
SON OF LATE BINANDA BARUAH

RESIDENT OF VILL. AND P.O.- SIPAJHAR

BHUKTABARI
DIST.- DARRANG
PIN- 784145

ASSAM.

6: SAHIDUR RAHMAN
SON OF LATE HAFIZ UDDIN AHMED

RESIDENT OF VILL.- AGOMANI

P.O.- RATIDAHHA
DIST.- DHUBRI

PIN- 783335
ASSAM.

7: AMULYA KALITA
SON OF GOPAL KALITA

RESIDENT OF VILL.- PUBMAZIRGAON

P.O.- BHATTAPARA
DIST.- KAMRUP(M)

PIN- 781017
ASSAM.

8: NITUMONI KALITA
SON OF LATE RATNA KANTA KALITA

RESIDENT OF VILL.- PAHUKATA

P.O.- BHELAGURI
DIST.- NAGAON

PIN- 782140
ASSAM.

9: NRIPENDRA BHAGAWATI
SON OF NAGENDRA BHAGAWATI

RESIDENT OF VILL.- MURARA

P.O.- RANGIA
DIST.- KAMRUP

PIN- 781354
ASSAM.

10: PUTUMONI HAZARIKA
SON OF SATYA HAZARIKA

RESIDENT OF VILL. KACHARIGHAT
BONGOAM

DIST.- GOLAGHAT
PIN- 785621
ASSAM.

11: DOLY KALITA
WIFE OF DIPAK KALITA

RESIDENT OF VILL- GARAKA

P.O.- RANGIA
DIST. KAMRUP

PIN- 781354
ASSAM

VERSUS

NARAYAN KONWAR AND ANR.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF SECONDARY EDUCATION,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781006.

2:DR. BIJOYA CHOUDHURY
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

DISPUR
ASSAM
PIN- 781006

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S HAZARIKA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. D SAIKIA

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR**

ORDER

Date : 18.03.2024

Heard Mr. S. Hazarika, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. U. Sarma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of all the respondents.

The present contempt petition has been filed, alleging violation of a direction passed by this Court vide order, dated 30.05.2022, in WP(c)2238/2020 and other analogous matters.

Today, Mr. Sarma, learned counsel appearing for the respondents, has produced a copy of the order, dated 28.02.2024, issued by the Secretary to the Government of Assam, Department of School Education, in compliance of the directions passed by this Court vide order, dated 30.05.2022.

In terms of the said order, dated 28.02.2024; after consideration of the relevant material, it was concluded that the prayer of the petitioner for condonation of his age cannot be accepted and accordingly, the application came to be rejected.

I have perused the order, dated 28.02.2024, and find that the same is in

compliance of the directions contained in the order, dated 30.05.2022, passed in WP(c)2238/2020 and as such, there is no willful and deliberate violation of the Court's order by the respondents.

In view of the above-noted order, dated 28.02.2024, the instant contempt petition is hereby closed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to assail the conclusions contained in the order, dated 28.02.2024, by way of instituting an appropriate application, if so advised.

The copy of the order, dated 28.02.2024, shall be kept as a part of the record and marked as 'X' for identification.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant