

GAHC010096772024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/298/2024

M/S VAGDEVI PRINTERS AND STATIONER
A FIRM REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR NAMELY, SMTI.
DIPALI DUTTA , D/O- LATE DWIJEN DUTTA . RESIDENT OF HOUSE
NO-4, SOUTH SARANIA, NILGIRI PATH, P.O AND P.S- CHANDMARI,
DISTRICT-KAMRUP (M) ASSAM

VERSUS

SHRI BISWARANJAN SAMAL AND TWO ORS
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF FOOD, CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CONSUMER
AFFAIRS, ROOM NO. D 415, D BLOCK 4TH FLOOR, ASSAM
SECRETARIAT DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6, ASSAM.

2:PRAVIN BAKSHI
THE DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF FOOD
CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-05
ASSAM

3:KEERTHI JALLI
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP
AMINGAON
PIN-781031
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : SAMARESH SINHA, MS. L BARMAN

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. D NATH (R-1,3), MS. M SHARMA (R-3),O ASSUMI (R-1,3)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

Date : 21.10.2024

No one appears for the petitioner on call.

2. Mr. D. Nath, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that on verification, it was found that the petitioner is not entitled to the contractual dues claimed by him, in terms of the letter dated 09.07.2024, issued by the Secretary to the Government of Assam, Food, Public Distribution and Consumer Affairs Department, Dispur and the letter dated 07.06.2024 issued by the Commissioner, Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs and Legal Metrology, Assam.

3. The letters dated 09.07.2024 and 07.06.2024 are made a part of the record and marked as Annexure X & Y respectively.

4. The above two letters clearly show that the respondents have taken a stand that the petitioner is not entitled to the amount of Rs.13,99,441/-, as claimed by him in WP(C) 6724/2023. As such, this Court is of the view that the order dated 24.11.2023 passed in WP(C) 6724/2023 has been complied with, as a verification has been made with regard to whether the petitioner was entitled to the amount of Rs.13,99,441/-.

5. In respect of the petitioner's claim for another amount of Rs.15,54,935/-, in terms of the order dated 22.11.2023 passed in WP(C)

6696/2023, this Court finds that the said issue has already been closed in the order dated 03.10.2024 passed in Cont.Cas(C) 297/2024.

6. The contempt petition is accordingly closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant