

GAHC010085252024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./1243/2024

DARSHANA BHARADWAJ
W/O SRI SANJAY KUMAR BORAH
PERMANENT ADDRESS- VILL- DA-BASERIA, TEZPUR, P.S. TEZPUR DIST.
SONITPUR, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. FIRUZ KHAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 20-05-2024

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This is an application under section 439 of the Cr.P.C
praying for release of the petitioner namely, Darshana Bharadwaj
on bail, who was arrested on 02.04.2024 in connection with

Tezpur PS Case No.167/2024 under sections 120(B)/420/506 IPC.

The allegation made in the FIR is that the petitioner introduced herself to be a person who can provide job at Northeast M.E.S on contractual basis and she asked the informant to arrange for 30 numbers of such job. Accordingly the informant arranged 23 persons from Dibrugarh and 7 persons from Tezpur who were interested in doing such contractual job. Accordingly altogether 17 appointment letters were handed over to the informant and it was informed that other appointment letters had not yet been received from Northeast M.E.S. In lieu of such appointment the informant was demanded Rs.50,000/- each and altogether Rs.1,50,000/-, through online payment method, were made in favour of the accused for issuance of such appointment. Subsequently, the informant came to learn that all those appointment letters were fake and fraudulent. Accordingly FIR was lodged and the petitioner was arrested.

The case diary called for is received and perused.

From the materials available on record, this court is of the prima facie view that there is a group, who is luring unemployed persons by offering jobs and extracting money by issuing fraudulent appointment order. Another person namely Nangal Singh is the main kingpin in the entire racket and he has not yet been arrested.

Considering the matter in entirety and stage of the investigation, this court is of the view that further custody of the petitioner may be necessary for investigation of the case.

Accordingly the bail application stands dismissed.

Return the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant