

GAHC010066902024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

AB/961/2024

SANJIB DAS AND ANR.
S/O SANARAM DAS

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE CHECHAMUKH SALOI SUPA
PS HAJO. DIST KAMRUP 781102

2: JINTUNONI DAS
S/O DIPALI DAS

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE CHECHAMUKH SALOI SUPA
PS HAJO. DIST KAMRUP 781102
VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY PP ASSAM

Advocate for : MS. T SOM
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 03-09-2024

Heard Ms. T. Som, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. R.R. Kaushik, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam appearing for the State respondent.

By way of instituting the present application under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioners namely, **1. Sanjib Das and 2. Jintunoni Das**, have prayed for pre-arrest bail in connection with Hajo P.S. Case No.99/2024 registered under Sections 341/325/307/386/34 IPC.

This Court vide order dated 09.04.2024 was pleased to grant the benefit of interim pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

It is submitted at the Bar that in terms of the conditions as imposed by this Court, while granting interim pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, the petitioners have appeared before the I/O and recorded thier statement.

It is also submitted that the petitioners have not taken any undue advantage of the interim pre-arrest bail as granted to them by this Court.

In view of the above position and also considering that the statement of the petitioners having been recorded, there would be no further occasion for any custodial interrogation in the matter, the interim bail granted to the petitioners by this Court vide order dated 09.04.2024 is hereby made absolute on the following terms and conditions:-

i. the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer as and when required;

ii. the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any other police officer, and

iii. the petitioners shall not hamper the investigation or temper with the evidence of the case.

With the above observation and direction, the Anticipatory Bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant