

GAHC010064882024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/977/2024

BHABEN BORAH AND ANR

S/O- LATE NARENDRA NATH BORAH, R/O- VILL.- CHAKARI GAON, P.O.
DIMARUGURI, P.S. SADAR (NAGAON), DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN-
781003.

2: NABAJYOTI HOMAGAIN

S/O- LATE CHABILAL HOMAGAIN

R/O- VILL.- RANGCHALI

DALANI

P.O. AND P.S. BEHALI

DIST. BISWANATH

ASSAM, PIN- 784166

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS

REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE PUBLIC
HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR
GUWAHATI 06

2:DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

ASSAM

B.K. KATAKI ROAD

ULUBARI, GUWAHATI.

3:DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL DEFENCE

AND COMMANDANT GENERAL OF HOME GUARDS

ASSAM, BELTOLA

GUWAHATI

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS R NATH

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR
:: O R D E R ::

05-04-2024

Heard Mr. K. Biswakarma, learned counsel for the applicants.

By this application, the applicant is praying for impleadment of Samilal Kr. Brahma, Indrajit Hasnu, Debajyoti Bathari, Anukul Sinha, Sunil Panging, Sapal Sarmah, Chandra Knt. Malakar, Arabinda Mandal, Tarikuddin Ahmed, Joykanta Talukdar, Rabin Saikia, Pabitra Kr. Roy, Moni Ram Ligira, Arun Kr. Borah, Md. Nizamuddin Raj Barbhuyan, Mohini Mohan Sarmah, Binoy Kr. Dewri, Prafulla Gogoi, Anil Ch. Borah, Bolendra Nath Brahma, Joy Ram Nath, Nil Kishore Boro, Gahindra Chandra Roy and Abdus Salam, under the office of the Director General of Civil Defence and Commandant General of Home Guards, Beltola, Guwahati, Assam, Pin-781028, as party respondent Nos. 4 to 27 respectively in the connected WP(C) No. 613/2024, on the ground that the applicants are challenging the final gradation list of Subedars of Home Guard Organization and the interest of the aforesaid parties would be affected if the writ petition is allowed.

Mr. R. Talukdar, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has no objection to the prayer so made.

This Court has considered the cause shown in the present application and is satisfied. Accordingly, the prayer for impleadment is allowed.

The interlocutory application is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant