

GAHC010052692024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/806/2024

GOBINDA BHATTACHARJEE
S/O. LT. SUKHAMOY BHATTACHARJEE, R/O. NETAJI ROAD, SUBHASH
NAGAR, SILCHAR, DIST. CACHAR, ASSAM

2: CHANDAN SAHA ROY

S/O. LT. KUNJA BEHARI SAHA ROY
R/O. SUHASHINI APARTMENT
N.N. DUTTA ROAD
NARSINGTOLA
SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS.
REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, URBAN
DEVELOPMENT DEPTT., DISPUR, GHY., ASSAM

2:THE DIRECTOR

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING
DISPUR
GHY.
KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

3:THE SILCHAR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

SILCHAR
CACHAR
ASSAM
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN

4:THE CHAIRMAN

SILCHAR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
SILCHAR
CACHAR
ASSAM

5:THE MEMBER SECRETARY

SILCHAR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
SILCHAR
CACHAR
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D CHAKRABARTY

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAIO**

ORDER

12.03.2024

Heard Mr. D Chakrabarty, learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner who submits that by filing this application the applicant seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.

[2.] Referring to the paragraph No. 3 of the application, the learned counsel submits that the same is the reason for withdrawing the writ petition. Paragraph No. 3 is abstracted hereunder:-

“3. That, during the pendency of the instant Writ petition, the applicants herein have arrived at an out of court settlement with the Silchar Development Authority to the extent that the applicants would be paid their arrear salaries by the Silchar Development

Authority subject to the condition that the applicants should forgo their claim for payment of scale at higher rate and withdraw the instant Writ Petition which has been filed assailing the impugned Resolution No. 3 adopted in the 72nd Board Meeting of the Silchar Development Authority held on 23.11.2015 to the extent of declining the payment of scale at higher rate to the applicants.”

[2.] Mr. S P Choudhury, learned counsel representing respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 5 and he submits that he has no objection to the prayer made by the applicant. Similarly, Mr. C S Hazarika, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 has no objection.

[3.] The application seeking withdrawal of the writ petition is hereby allowed. I.A accordingly stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant