

GAHC010051102023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MACApp./166/2023

NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD .
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AND HEAD OFFICE AT 3 MIDDLETON
STREET KOLKATA AND ITS REGIONAL OFFICE AT GS ROAD,
BHANGAGARH GUWAHATI

VERSUS

FOIZE UDDIN BARBHUIYA AND 2 ORS .
S/O ABDUL MOTIN BARBHUIYA, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BAWARGHAT,
PART I, PS AND DIST HAILAKANDI ASSAM 788164

2:JEAB UDDIN BARBHUIYA
S/O A. ALI BARBHUIYA

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE VICHINGCHA

PS AND DIST HAILAKANDI
ASSAM 788164

3:NAZIR UDDIN LASKAR

S/O M. M LASKAR

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE VICHINGCHA
PS AND DIST HAILAKANDI
ASSAM 78816

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. P BORTHAKUR

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. P K DEKA (r-1)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date : 11.05.2024

The case is put up before the National Lok Adalat.

The appellant, National Insurance Company Limited is represented by Ms. Mery Pegu, Regional Manager of the said Company.

The respondent/claimant Foize Uddin Barbhuiya is also personally present before this Court.

The original award amount was Rs. 4,63,000/-.

This case has been mutually settled between the parties at an amount of Rs. 9,66,000/-. Out of the total amount, the Insurance Company has already been deposited an amount of Rs. 8,17,500/- to the Registry and Rs.1,48,500/- remains to be paid to the claimant.

The claimant has already received an amount of Rs.5,86,000, out of the total agreed amount.

In pursuant to the amicable settlement arrived at between the parties, the Insurance Company is directed to deposit the remaining amount of Rs. 1,48,500/- to the Registry of this Court within a period of 45 days from the date of this order and on such deposit, the Registry shall disburse the an amount of Rs. 3,80,000/- to the claimant on proper verification of their identity as well as verification of their bank details.

The statutory deposit, if any, deposited by the appellant, may be returned back to the appellant by the Registry of this Court.

With above observation, this case is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant