

GAHC010048382024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1357/2024

LAKHYADHAR DEKA
S/O- MADHAB DEKA, R/O- VILL.- PACHARIA, P.S. HAJO, PIN- 781104, DIST.
KAMRUP(R), ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
THROUGH THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, SCHOOL
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GHY-19.

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
CACHAR DISTRICT CIRCLE
P.O. AND P.S. SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 788001.

4:THE HEADMASTER
DIDARKUSH SURESH SHAHU HIGH SCHOOL
P.O. DIDARKUSH
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 788099

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M H ANSARI

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

03.04.2024

Heard Mr. S Alim, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. D. Mushahary, learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education.

The writ petitioner is before the Court seeking a direction to the respondents to consider and pass orders on his application submitted online for transfer from Cachar District to his home District or any other Districts nearby. The petitioner is a resident of Kamrup District.

On earlier dates, an opportunity was granted to the learned Standing Counsel to obtain the required instructions.

Today when the matter is called up, the learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education has placed before the Court that the Director, Secondary Education by order dated 20.03.2024 rejected the transfer application of the petitioner on the grounds and reasons mentioned in the said in the said communication. Copy of the said communication is placed before the Court by the Standing Counsel and is kept as a part of the case record. A copy of the said communication is also handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of submissions made and in view of the decision already taken by the Director, Secondary Education by the order dated 20.03.2024 rejecting the application of the writ petitioner, nothing further survives to be decided in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of as being infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant