

GAHC010258502023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/3905/2023

DHANAKANWA LAKRA @ PASCAL URANG AND 5 ORS
S/O SILAS LAKRA
R/O 18 NO. LINE,
TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA, ASSAM

2: SRI ALIUS URANG
S/O THADIUS URANG
R/O 18 NO. LINE

TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSAM

3: SRI SILBANUS URANG
S/O LT. ATHANUS URANG
R/O 18 NO. LINE

TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSAM

4: SRI ANGALU URANG
S/O CIRLO URANG
R/O 18 NO. LINE

TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSAM

5: SRI JUDGE LAKRA @ GEORGE LAKRA
S/O RIJUWAL LAKRA
R/O 18 NO. LINE

TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSAM

6: SRI ARUN URANG
S/O JOSEP LAKRA
R/O 18 NO. LINE

TONGANA T.E.
P.O. AND P.S. TONGANA
DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. D GHOSH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MALASRI NANDI

ORDER

Date : 25.04.2024

Heard Mr. J. Laskar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. R.R. Kaushik, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam.

This is an application made under **Section 438 Cr.P.C.**, seeking pre-arrest bail by the petitioner, namely, **Jumma Khan**, apprehending

arrest in connection with **Umrangso P.S. Case No. 01/2024(corresponding to G.R. Case No. 27/2024)**, registered under Section **120(B)/384/420/34 IPC r/w Section 20/21 of Assam Micro Finance Institutions (Regulation of Money Lending) Act, 2020.**

Mr. Laskar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Police Officer as per direction of this Court and his statement has already been recorded after he was granted interim protection. He has not misused the liberty granted to him; rather, he co-operates with the investigation of the case. Therefore, the privilege of pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner may be made absolute.

Mr. Kaushik, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that the petitioner may appear before the investigating officer as and when called for. As the statement of petitioner has already been recorded by the investigating officer and as such, his custodial interrogation may not be required.

I have considered the submission made by the learned counsel for the parties and also perused the case diary.

It appears that the petitioner has not misused the liberty granted to him while availing interim protection. It is directed to the petitioner to appear before the investigating officer as and when called for.

Considering all, this Court is of the view that the petitioner deserves to be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

Accordingly, the interim protection granted to the petitioner vide order dated 06.03.2024, is made absolute on the same terms and

conditions, as reflected.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Return the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant