

GAHC010040652023



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/1155/2023**

ISRAM TOPPO  
( PROPRIETOR OF M/S I.T. ENTERPRISE),  
SON OF LATE PREMDHAR TOPPO,  
R/O- VILLAGE- GORMURA,  
P.S.- DHEKIAJULI,  
DISTRICT- SONITPUR, ASSAM.  
PIN- 784110.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 8 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.  
OF ASSAM,  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING (PHE),  
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-06, ASSAM.

2:THE MISSION DIRECTOR  
JAL JEEVAN MISSION  
ASSAM HENGRABARI  
GUWAHATI- 36.

3:THE CHIEF ENGINEER (PHED)-CUM-ADDL. MISSION DIRECTOR  
JAL JEEVAN MISSION ASSAM  
HENGRABARI GUWAHATI- 36.

4:THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF ENGINEER (PHE)  
NORTH ASSAM ZONE  
TEZPURSONITPUR ASSAMPIN- 784001.

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (PHE) -CUM- DISTRICT MISSION DIRECTOR  
SONITPUR  
TEZPUR DIVISION NO. 1  
TEZPURSONITPUR  
ASSAM PIN- 784001.

6:THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER (PHE)  
TEZPUR CIRCLE  
TEZPUR DISTRICT- SONITPUR  
ASSAM PIN- 784001.

7:THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (PHE)  
TEZPUR SUB-DIVISION NO. 1  
TEZPUR SONITPUR  
ASSAM PIN- 784001.

8:THE DEUPTY COMMISSIONER-CUM- CHAIRMAN  
DWSM SONITPUR  
TEZPUR ASSAM PIN- 784001.

9:THE BRANCH MANAGER  
ICICI BANK LIMITED TEZPUR BRANCH  
TEZPUR ASSAM PIN- 784001

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. R P SARMAH

**Advocate for the Respondent** : SC, P H E

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 03.04.2024**

Heard Mr. D. Doley, learned counsel for the petitioner, who submits that the work pertaining to Jal Jeevan Mission Scheme in Nangaon in the district of Sonitpur was allotted to the petitioner. However, the allotment of the work was cancelled by the respondent, on the ground that he had not completed the work in time, vide letter dated 30.01.2023.

The petitioner's counsel submits that the petitioner could not complete the work in time, due to the petitioner not being given his running bills by the respondent authorities. He accordingly prays that a direction should be issued to

the respondents to re-allot the work to the petitioner and direct the respondents to make full payment of Rs. 5.81 Lakhs payable to the petitioner.

Mr. D. Gogoi, learned counsel for the PHE submits that Rs. 5.81 Lakhs claimed by the petitioner has been paid to the petitioner through internet banking. He further submits that the work that had been allotted to the petitioner has been completed by somebody else.

In view of the above, the present writ petition has become infructuous and the same is accordingly closed, as infructuous.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**