

GAHC010040312017



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5706/2017

ANDRIASH BESRA and 9 ORS.
S/O LATE CHAHU BESRA

2: SRI SARAT CH. KARMAKAR
S/O LATE KISTY KARMAKAR

3: SRI JATIN MURMU
S/O LATE MOTH MURMU

4: SRI LAKHINDAR KAMAKAR
S/O LATE. BUDHINATH KARMAKAR

5: SRI BUKAL MARDI
S/O LATE BUDHRAI MARDI

6: SRI ROMESH HASDA
S/O LATE SALHAI HASDA

7: SRI NIMA KARMAKAR
S/O LATE BOKA KARMAKAR

8: SRI RASIK KARMAKAR
S/O LATE KHAJARI KARMAKAR

9: SRI DUMA KARMAKAR
S/O LATE KRISHNA KARMAKAR

10: SRI RAKHAL KARMAKAR
S/O LATE KRISHNA KARMAKA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and 4 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,

PERSONAL B DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6

2:THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
RELIEF AND REHABILITATION DEPTT.
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PO-KOKRAJHAR
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOKRAJHAR
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM

5:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
KOKRAJHAR
PO- KOKRAJHAR
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM

6:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE

GOSSAIGAON POLICE STATION
PO-GOSAIGAON
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.M SHARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI**

ORDER

15.05.2024

1. None appears for the petitioners when the matter is called.

2. Heard Ms. M. Barman, learned Government Advocate appearing for the State respondents and Ms. N. Bordoloi, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 as well as Ms. S. Boro, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms. R.B. Bora, learned counsel for the BTC.
3. It appears that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners also on 12.05.2023 and 13.05.2024.
4. In view of the consecutive absence of the petitioners' counsel, this Court on the last occasion had directed the Registry to intimate the counsel appearing for the petitioners as regards the fixing of the case today. In this respect, a note is placed before this Court by the Registry vide office note dated 14.05.2024 which indicates that upon contacting the counsel for the petitioners it has come to the knowledge that the writ petitioner has taken away the brief.
5. In view of the fact that the writ petitioner has taken away the brief from the engaged counsel and as there has been no representation for the petitioners since last three dates, this Court is of the considered view that this writ petition can be dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. Ordered accordingly.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant