

GAHC010035322024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/881/2024

JUJU KULI PAMEY @ JUJU PAME AND 3 ORS
W/O LATE BIKASH PAMEY @ PAME
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE RAKUT KOKE, PS JONAI, DIST DHEMAJI, ASSAM
787060

2: ASIN PAME
S/O LATE BIKASH PAMEY @ PAME
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE RAKUT KOKE
PS JONAI
DIST DHEMAJI
ASSAM 787060
TO BE REP. BY PET. NO. 1

3: KUNJALATA PAME
W/O BIMALA PAME
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE RAKUT KOKE
PS JONAI
DIST DHEMAJI
ASSAM 787060

4: BIMALA PAMEY @ PAME
S/O LATE UKEL PAME

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE RAKUT KOKE
PS JONAI
DIST DHEMAJI
ASSAM 78706

VERSUS

CHOLAMANDALAM MS GEN INS COMPANY LTD AND 2 ORS
AASTHA PLAZA, GS ROAD, BORA SERVICEL OPP. SB DEORAH
COLLEGE, PO GUWAHATI 07, DIST KAMRUP M ASSAM

2:ABI TAGA
S/O TANIYOK TAGA
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE AND PO MIRBUK
791102
PS PASIGHAT
DIST EAST SIYANG
ARUNACHAL PRADESH

3:KABIT TAGA
S/O TAPANG TAGA
VILLAGE JOMO PO RUMGONG
791001
DIST WEST SIYANG
ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A R AGARWALA, MR ADITYA AGARWALA,MS. R LAILA,MD. K ALI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. A BHATTACHARYYA (r-1), MR A CHANDRAN(R-2,3)

Linked Case :

JUJU KULI PAMEY @ JUJU PAME AND 3 ORS

VERSUS

CHOLAMANDALAM MS GEN INS COMPANY LTD AND 2 ORS (F)

Advocate for : MR. A R AGARWALA
Advocate for : appearing for CHOLAMANDALAM MS GEN INS COMPANY LTD
AND 2 ORS (F)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

Date : 09-08-2024

Heard Mr. A. R. Agarwala, learned counsel for the applicant. I have also heard Mr. A. Bhattacharyya, learned counsel for respondent no. 1 and Mr. A. Chandran, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 2 and 3.

This is an application filed under section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 praying for condonation of delay of 13 days in preferring the connected appeal against the judgment and order dated 03.11.2023, passed by the learned Member, MACT No. 2, Kamrup (M), Guwahati in MAC case no. 2025/2021.

The ground for delay in not preferring the connected appeal on time has been explained in para 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the condonation petition, and I am satisfied that the applicant has been able to show sufficient cause for not preferring the connected appeal within the stipulated time. Moreover, the learned counsel for the respondent has no objection if the delay of 13 days in preferring the connected appeal is condoned.

In view of the above, the delay of 13 days in preferring the connected appeal is hereby condoned.

With the above, this IA stands disposed of.

The Office shall register the connected appeal, and list it for admission, by

reflecting the name of Mr. A. Bhattacharyya as the learned counsel for respondent no. 1 and Mr. A. Chandran, as the learned counsel for the respondent nos. 2 and 3.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant