

GAHC010034952024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/918/2024

BARUL BASUMATARI
SON OF MILAN BASUMATARI, A RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BHALUKMARI,
P.O. NALKHAMARA, P.S. UDALGURI, DISTRICT- UDALGURI, PIN- 784509,
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND ANR
BEING REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS
DEPARTMENT, SHASTRI BHAWAN, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD.
A GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ENTERPRISE
UNDER MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS BEING
REPRESENTED BY THE TERRITORY MANAGER (RETAIL)
HEAD OF TERRITORY OFFICE
GUWAHATI
1ST FLOOR
NEXIA PARK
GMCH ROAD
CHRISTIAN BASTI
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
ASSAM- 78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A R SHOME

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

28.02.2024

Heard Mr. B.C. Das, learned Sr. Counsel assisted by Mr. A.R. Shome, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. S.S. Roy, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner participated in a proceeding for selection of retail outlet (petrol pump dealership). In the process the petitioner was requested by the respondents, by its communication dated 17.01.2024, to upload certain rectified documents by 07.02.2024. However, admittedly the petitioner could not file such rectified documents by 07.02.2024 and accordingly, by a communication dated 09.02.2024, the candidature of the petitioner was rejected, being not eligible.

3. However, an option was given to the petitioner to file a representation, if aggrieved by such decision. Accordingly the petitioner preferred a representation on 17.02.2024 and the grievance of the petitioner is that the representation has not yet been disposed of by the respondents.

4. Mr. S.S. Roy, learned counsel for the respondents has submitted a letter dated 19.02.2024, issued by the Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., which shows that the petitioner's representation has been rejected.

5. In view of the above, the writ petition has become infructuous. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the said letter, if so advised.

6. The letter dated 19.02.2024 is made a part of the record and marked as Annexure-X.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant