

GAHC010034482023



THE GAUhati HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1038/2023

KANHAIYA YADAV
S/O- LT. CHURAMAN SINGH, R/O- VILL- NO. 2 NAZIRATING, P.O.
NAZIRATING, P.S. DIGBOI, DIST.- TINSUKIA, ASSAM, PIN- 786171

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, REVENUE
DEPTT., DISPUR, GHY-6

2:THE DY. COMMISSIONER
TINSUKIA
DIST.- TINSUKIA
ASSAM
PIN- 786171

3:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
TINSUKIA REVENUE CIRCLE
DIST.- TINSUKIA
ASSAM
PIN- 786171

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
TINSUKIA
DIST.- TINSUKIA
PIN- 786171

5:SRI SUREN PHUKAN
MEMBER OF LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DIGBOI LAC
R/O- NO. 1 AXOMIYA GAON
TINGRAI BAZAR
OPP. TINGRAI RKB HIGH SCHOOL

DIST.- TINSUKIA
PIN- 78617

Advocate for the Petitioner(s) : Mr. B. P. Borah

Advocate for the respondent(s): Mr. B.J. Talukdar

Mr. N. Bordoloi

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 02.08.2024

Mr. B. P. Borah, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in view of the stand taken by the respondents in the affidavit, the petitioner would not like to proceed with the instant writ petition. He however, submits that he may be given the liberty to submit a representation before the concerned District Commissioner if the building of the petitioner which was demolished was standing on Dag No. 297 and thereupon claim compensation.

2. The writ petition stands closed on withdrawal. It is however observed that withdrawal of the writ petition shall not act as a bar to the petitioner to submit representation before the District Commissioner seeking compensation, if permissible under law.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant