

GAHC010027732022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1018/2022

PRANAB KALITA
S/O. RAMESH KALITA, VILL. NAHIRA, P.O. NAHIRA, PIN-781132, DIST.
KAMRUP (R), GUWAHATI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, DEPTT. OF
AGRICULTURE, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-06.

2:THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE

ASSAM
KAHANAPARA
GUWAHATI-22.

3:ASSAM STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD

REP. BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
R.K MISSION ROAD
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-07.

4:THE SECRETARY

GUWAHATI SUB DIVISIONAL REGULATED MARKET COMMITTEE
UPARHALI
BOJOY NAGAR
GUWAHATI-78122
ASSAM.

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

KAMRUP (R) CUM CHAIRPERSON OF THE ELECTION AUTHORITY
GUWAHATI SUB DIVISIONAL REGULATED MARKET COMMITTEE.

6:ASSAM STATE WARE HOUSING CORPORATION
REP. BY MANAGING DIRECTOR
PANNYAGAR BHAWAN
CHRISTIAN BASTI
AMARAWATI PATH
GUWAHATI-781005.

7:THE BRANCH MANAGER

ASSAM CO-OPERATIVE APEX BANK LTD. BIJOYNAGAR
KAMRUP
PIN

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D GOGOI

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

14.06.2024

Heard Mr. I. Borthakur, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. J. Barman, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. J.K. Goswami, learned Standing Counsel, Apex Bank and Ms. G. Bora, learned Standing Counsel, Agriculture Department and Mr. N.J. Gogoi, learned Standing Counsel, State Agriculture Marketing Board for the respondent nos. 3 & 4.

2] This writ petition is filed by the petitioner who claims to be the Chairman of the Guwahati Sub-Divisional Market Committee constituted by the State of Assam under the provisions of the Assam Agricultural Market Produce Act, 1972.

3] It is submitted by Mr. N.J. Gogoi, learned Standing Counsel, State Agriculture Marketing Board for the respondent nos. 3 & 4 that during the pendency of the writ petition by order dated 09.02.2024 the representation came to be considered and the same has been rejected.

4] In that view of the matter, the prayer made in the writ petition has been redressed by the Department of Agriculture. It is submitted that the copy of the order dated 09.02.2024 has been served upon the writ petitioner himself.

5] In that view of the matter, the writ petition stands closed while giving liberty to the writ petitioner to challenge the order dated 09.02.2204, if so deemed fit and proper.

6] A copy of the order dated 09.02.2024 be kept in record.

7] Writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the above.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant