

GAHC010026472024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./404/2024

PRANJAL DAS
S/O BABUL DAS
R/O SEWALI PATH,
WARD NO. 9, RANGIA, P.S. RANGIA,
DIST.KAMRUP, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR K UDDIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MALASRI NANDI

ORDER

Date : 22-03-2024

Heard Mr. A.K. Azad, learned counsel for the accused/petitioner and B.B. Gogoi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is an application made under **Section 439 Cr.P.C.**, seeking bail by

the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sri Pranjal Das**, in connection with **Kamalpur Police Station Case no. 198/2023 (G.R. Case no. 1270/2023)**, registered under **Sections 120(B)/121(A), IPC** read with **Section 10/13 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act**.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been detained in custody for last 89 days for committing alleged offence. As per FIR, some miscreants had hoisted a flag of ULFA, a banned organization near Bihata Chariali Chowk but the petitioner was not present and was no way connected with the alleged offence and considering the period of detention, the accused/petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has produced the case diary and submits that there is no prayer by the I.O. requiring extension of period of detention of the accused/petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties. It appears that the allegation as per the FIR is that some miscreants have hoisted a flag of ULFA, a banned organization.

6. In view of the above and considering the period of detention of the accused/petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the accused/petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the accused/petitioner, Sri Pranjal Das, shall be released on bail in connection with Kamalpur Police Station Case no. 198/2023 (G.R. Case no. 1270/2023), registered under **Sections 120(B)/121(A), IPC** read with **Section 10/13 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act**, on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.30,000/-** with **a suitable surety** of the like amount, to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Rangia.

8. The direction for bail is further subject to the conditions that the accused-

petitioner:

(a) shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of learned SDJM, Rangia, without prior written permission from him/her;

(b) shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

9. In terms of the above, this bail application stands disposed of.

10. Send back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant