

GAHC010019602024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MACApp./45/2024

JYOTI DEVI @ JYOTI DEVEE AND ANR.
W/O PRASANNA DEV SARMAH,
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE KANWARPARA, PS MANGALDAI, DIST DARRANG,
ASSAM

2: PRASANNA DEV SARMAH
S/O LATE DINA NATH SARMAH

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE KANWARPARA
PS MANGALDAI
DIST DARRANG
ASSA

VERSUS

M/S HDFC ERGO GENERAL INSURANCE CO LTD AND 2 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE BRANCH MANAGER, GUWAHATI BRANCH, 6TH
FLOOR, ADITYAM BUILDING, G.S ROAD, SOUTH SARANIA, LACHIT
NAGAR, GUWAHATI ASSAM 781007

2:SRI GYANJYOTI LAHKAR
S/O LATE DR. JAGENDRA LAHKAR

RESIDENT OF VILLAGE MIRZA
PS PALASHBARI
DIST KAMRUP ASSAM

3:SRI PINKU DAS
S/O LATE MOTI RAM DAS
RESIDENT OF HAROPARA
PS PALASHBARI
DIST KAMRUP ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S RAHMAN, MR A N IQBAL

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. R GOSWAMI (R-1), MS. P BORTHAKUR (R-1),MS. M SAIKIA (R-1)

NATIONAL LOK ADALAT

(HELD ON 14TH OF SEPTEMBER, 2024)

MAC App. No. 45/2024

:::BEFORE:::

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

Name of the Conciliators:

1. Mr. Santanu Kumar Das
2. Ms. Ritamani Goswami

ORDER

The case is put up before the National Lok Adalat.

The Insurance Company is represented by its officials.

The claimant is duly present along with engaged counsel.

It is submitted that the earlier awarded amount was Rs. 29,01,316/- (Rupees twenty nine lakhs one thousand three hundred sixteen) only which has

already been paid along with interest by the Insurance Company.

Both the parties are agreed to settle the matter on an additional amount of Rs. 2,50,000/- (Rupees two lakhs fifty thousand) only as full and final settlement.

Accordingly, the appellant/HDFC ERGO General Insurance Co. Ltd. is hereby directed to deposit an additional amount of Rs. 2,50,000/- (Rupees two lakhs fifty thousand) only before the Registry of this Court within a period of 1 (one) month from today and the claimant No. 1- Jyoti Devi @ Jyoti Devesh will be at liberty to withdraw the same on being proper verification and identification by the engaged counsel of the case.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms above.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant